Universität Stuttgart

Fachbereich Mathematik

Desargues, Doily, Dualities, and Exceptional Isomorphisms

Bernhild Stroppel, Markus Stroppel

Universität Stuttgart

Fachbereich Mathematik

Desargues, Doily, Dualities, and Exceptional Isomorphisms

Bernhild Stroppel, Markus Stroppel

Desargues, Doily, Dualities, and Exceptional Isomorphisms

Bernhild Stroppel, Markus Stroppel

Für Herrn Professor Dr. G. Pickert aus Anlass seines 95. Geburtstags.

Abstract

We study various graphs associated with symmetric groups. This leads to a quick understanding of automorphisms, dualities and polarities both for the Desargues configuration and the smallest generalized quadrangle. The results are used to establish the existence of outer automorphisms of the symmetric group on six symbols, and the exceptional isomorphism between the alternating group on six symbols and the little projective group of the projective line over the field with nine elements.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 20B25, 20B30, 51A10, 51E12, 51B10, 05E20, 51D20.

Keywords: symmetric group, Desargues configuration, generalized quadrangle, inversive plane, automorphism, duality, polarity, exceptional isomorphism, incidence graph, collinearity graph, confluence graph.

1 Introduction

The Desargues configuration is an incidence structure with ten points and ten lines; it plays an important role in the foundations of geometry (see 1.2 below). It turns out that it is also of great interest of its own; this is due to its inherent symmetry. We give a sleek description of this configuration first:

1.1 Definition. Let F be a set of 5 elements. As point set, we take the set $\binom{F}{2}$ of all subsets of size 2 in F, the set $\binom{F}{3}$ of lines consists of all subsets of size 3, and incidence is inclusion: $\mathbb{D} := \left(\binom{F}{2}, \binom{F}{3}, \subset\right)$.

It seems that this labeling dates back to [6, IV, §1]. In the present notes, we will use it to give a simple proof of the (long known) fact that the automorphism group of $\mathbb{D}$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group S_5. We will also generalize the construction, so that the Petersen graph, the doily (viz., the smallest generalized quadrangle) and the inversive planes of orders 2 and 3 can be treated together with the Desargues configuration, in a certain uniform way. The study of dualities will shed light on the (also known) fact that the group S_6 admits automorphisms that are not inner ones. Finally, we use our observations to show that the alternating group A_6 is isomorphic to the little projective group $PSL(2, 9)$ of the projective line over the field with nine elements.

Levi's labeling (as used in 1.1) does not give a good geometric intuition — unless you are used to look at projective spaces in dimension 4, like Levi [6]. There are many ways to draw the Desargues configuration in the euclidean plane, see Fig. 1.

The shaded triangles in these drawings hint at an interpretation that is used frequently: We have two triangles that lie central with respect to some point (labeled 01 in the drawing on the left in Fig. 1) and axial with respect to some line (containing the points with the labels 23, 34 and 24 in Fig. 1). Any such drawing will hide some of the inherent symmetry of the configuration (but see Fig. 2 below).


Figure 1: Different drawings of the Desargues configuration.

The following fact has long been known, see [3, §19] for a proof:

1.2 Desargues' Theorem. *If two triangles (a_1, a_2, a_3) and (b_1, b_2, b_3) in a projective space of dimension at least 3 are in central position (i.e., if the lines $a_j \vee b_j$ are confluent) then they are in axial position, as well (i.e., the points $(a_j \vee a_k) \wedge (b_j \vee b_k)$ are collinear).*

The two triangles, the center and the points on the axis form a Desargues configuration.

There are, however, many projective planes containing triangles in central position that are not in axial position. The reader may consult Hilbert's classic [2, V §30] for the role of the Desargues configuration in the foundations of geometry, or get an impression how the Desargues configuration is used to understand the wealth of projective planes from Chapter 3 of [9] or Sections IV, V and VI in [4]. The early history of non-desarguesian plane geometries is traced in [15].

2 Some graphs associated with symmetric groups

Let F be any set (finite or not), and let n be a natural number. By $\binom{F}{n}$ we denote the set of all subsets of size n in F. In order to save some brackets, we denote the sets $\{i, j\}$ and $\{i, j, k\}$ by ij and ijk, respectively.

We need some terminology: an *incidence structure* (with two types) is a triplet $\mathbb{P} = (P, B, I)$ consisting of two sets P and B together with the incidence relation $I \subseteq P \times B$. Often, one calls the elements of P *points* and those of B *blocks* (sometimes *lines*, *edges*, or *circles*), the elements of I are also called *flags*. The *dual* $\mathbb{P}^* = (B, P, I^*)$ of $\mathbb{P}$ is obtained by interchanging the roles of points and blocks; with the incidence relation $I^* := \{(b, p) \mid (p, b) \in I\}$. If each block $b \in B$ is determined by its *point row* $P_b := \{p \in P \mid (p, b) \in I\}$ then we may replace B by the set $\{P_b \mid b \in B\}$; the incidence relation is then just "$\in$". The set of blocks through a point p is denoted by B_p.

A *graph*[1] is an incidence structure $\mathbb{G} = (V, E, \in)$ where $E \subseteq \binom{V}{2}$. Traditionally, the points are called *vertices*, the blocks are called *edges*, and two vertices are called *adjacent* if they form an edge. The *complement graph* $\mathbb{G}^c := \left(V, \binom{V}{2} \setminus E, \in\right)$ has the same vertex set, but two vertices are adjacent in $\mathbb{G}^c$ precisely if they are not adjacent in $\mathbb{G}$.

Two different graphs are naturally defined on the set $\binom{F}{2}$:

2.1 Definitions. The graph $\mathbb{E}_F$ has $\binom{F}{2}$ as vertex set, and two vertices (i.e., two subsets of size 2 in F) are adjacent if they are disjoint[2]. The graph $\mathbb{M}_F := \mathbb{E}_F{}^c$ is the complement graph of $\mathbb{E}_F$. If F is finite of size n, we replace it by the set $\{j \in \mathbb{N} \mid j < n\}$ of the same size, identifying $\mathbb{E}_n := \mathbb{E}_F$ and $\mathbb{M}_n := \mathbb{M}_F$.

2.2 Buttons and laces. For any set F of small size n, one obtains nice (and self-explaining) labels for the elements of $\binom{F}{2}$ and $\mathcal{P}_F$ by fixing a set of n points ("button holes") in a suitable arrangement, endowed with marks ("laces") that indicate the subsets in question. We have taken inspiration from [10, 4.3, p. 54] (cf. also [11] and [17]).

2.3 Definition. To any incidence structure $\mathbb{P} = (P, B, I)$ we associate the following graphs:

- The *incidence graph* $\mathbb{J}_\mathbb{P} := (P \coprod B, \{\{p, b\} \mid p \in P, b \in B, (p, b) \in I\}, \in)$ has the disjoint union[3] $P \coprod B$ as vertex set; a point and a block are adjacent precisely if they are incident.

- The *collinearity graph* $\mathbb{C}_\mathbb{P}$ of $\mathbb{P}$ is the graph with vertex set P where an edge is put between two vertices if, and only if, these points are joined by a block in $\mathbb{P}$.

- The *confluence graph* of $\mathbb{P}$ is the collinearity graph $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{P}^*}$ of the dual $\mathbb{P}^*$.

2.4 Examples. Let $F := \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ be the standard set of size 5.

(a) The Desargues configuration $\mathbb{D} = \left(\binom{F}{2}, \binom{F}{3}, \subset\right)$ has $\mathbb{M}_5 = \mathbb{M}_F$ as its collinearity graph.

(b) Various graphical representations of the incidence graph $\mathbb{J}_\mathbb{D}$ of $\mathbb{D}$ are shown in Fig. 2: in 2(a), one sees an automorphism of order 5 (and its powers) plus five involutions; these elements clearly form a dihedral group of order 10. The pictures in Figs. 2(b), 2(c) and 2(d) should be thought of as spatial representations of the graph. These pictures allow to "see" actions of groups isomorphic to $S_2 \times S_3$ and S_4, respectively. It is also possible to see certain polarities. See 5.3 below.

(c) The graph $\mathbb{E}_5$ is the famous *Petersen graph*, see Fig. 3.

2.5 Definition. Let $\mathbb{G} = (V, E, \in)$ be a graph. A *clique* in $\mathbb{G}$ is a subset $C \subseteq V$ such that any two vertices in C are adjacent in $\mathbb{G}$; we call a clique C *maximal* if it is not contained as a proper subset in any other clique in $\mathbb{G}$.

2.6 Theorem. *Let F be a finite set with at least 4 elements.*

(a) *If $|F|$ is even then the set $\mathcal{P}_F$ of maximal cliques in $\mathbb{E}_F$ consists of all partitions of F into two-element subsets (of F).*

[1] We only consider unordered graphs without loops here.
[2] We think of $\mathbb{M}$eeting sets and $\mathbb{E}$mpty intersections.
[3] If $P \cap B \neq \emptyset$ we have to use one of the standard tricks to replace them by a pair of disjoint sets.

(a) showing a 5-cycle, and the polarity γ

(b) showing γ, $\gamma\tau$, and $S_2 \times S_3$

(c) showing γ and $\gamma\delta$

(d) showing S_4

Figure 2: Pictures of the incidence graph $\mathbb{J}_\mathbb{D}$; cf. 5.1, 5.2, and 5.3.

Figure 3: Collinearity graph $\mathbb{C}_\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{M}_5$ of the Desargues configuration (with two maximal cliques indicated, on the left), and its complement (in the middle and once more on the right): this is the Petersen graph $\mathbb{E}_5$.

(b) *If $|F|$ is odd then $\mathcal{P}_F$ consists of all partitions of complements of singleton subsets in F into two-element subsets (of F).*

(c) *The maximal cliques in $\mathbb{M}_F$ fall into two disjoint sets, namely[4] $\mathcal{T}_F := \left\{ \{ab, bc, ca\} \,\middle|\, abc \in \binom{F}{3} \right\}$ and $\mathcal{V}_F := \left\{ \{ax \mid x \in F \smallsetminus \{a\}\} \,\middle|\, a \in F \right\}$.*

Proof. The first two assertions are obvious. In order to prove the last one, we note first that the elements of $\mathcal{V}_F$ and those of $\mathcal{T}_F$ are in fact maximal cliques of $\mathbb{M}_F$. If ab and ac lie in a maximal clique C then $\{ab, ac\} \neq C$ because $|F| > 3$. For $yz \in C \smallsetminus \{ab, ac\}$ we then obtain $yz = bc$ or $a \in yz$. Thus $C \in \mathcal{T}_F$ or $C = \{ax \mid x \in F \smallsetminus \{a\}\} \in \mathcal{V}_F$, as claimed. $\qquad\square$

3 Reconstruction, and full groups of automorphisms

We start with a general observation:

3.1 Lemma. *Let $\mathbb{G} = (V, E, \in)$ be a graph, and let $\mathcal{B}$ be a set of cliques such that for each edge $e \in E$ there exists at least one $B \in \mathcal{B}$ with $e \in B$. Then the collinearity graph $\mathbb{C}_{(V, \mathcal{B}, \in)}$ coincides with $\mathbb{G}$, and $\mathrm{Aut}\,(V, \mathcal{B}, \in) \le \mathrm{Aut}\,(\mathbb{G})$.*

Proof. It follows immediately from our assumption that two vertices $x, y \in V$ are collinear in $(V, \mathcal{B}, \in)$ precisely if they form an edge in $\mathbb{G}$. Thus $\mathbb{C}_{(V, \mathcal{B}, \in)} = \mathbb{G}$. Clearly $\mathrm{Aut}\,(V, \mathcal{B}, \in) \le \mathrm{Aut}\,(\mathbb{C}_{(V, \mathcal{B}, \in)})$. $\qquad\square$

We return to our incidence geometries constructed from subsets of a set F. The group S_F of all permutations of F acts in an obvious way by automorphisms of the incidence geometries $\mathbb{M}_F$, $\mathbb{E}_F$, $\left(\binom{F}{2}, \mathcal{T}_F, \in\right)$, $\left(\binom{F}{2}, \mathcal{V}_F, \in\right)$, or $\left(\binom{F}{2}, \mathcal{P}_F, \in\right)$. The action in question is faithful if $|F| > 2$; we will then identify S_F with the induced group of automorphisms.

3.2 Lemma. *For any set F, the incidence structure $\left(\binom{F}{2}, \mathcal{V}_F, \in\right)$ is dual to $\left(F, \binom{F}{2}, \in\right)$. This is the complete graph on F, and $\mathrm{Aut}\left(\binom{F}{2}, \mathcal{V}_F, \in\right) = \mathrm{Aut}\left(F, \binom{F}{2}, \in\right) = \mathsf{S}_F$.*

---

[4]Here we think of $\mathcal{T}$riangles and $\mathcal{V}$ertices.

3.3 Theorem. *Let F be any set, and let $\mathbb{X}$ be one of* $\mathbb{M}_F$, $\mathbb{E}_F$, $\left(\binom{F}{2}, \mathcal{T}_F, \in\right)$, $\left(\binom{F}{2}, \mathcal{V}_F, \in\right)$, *or* $\left(\binom{F}{2}, \mathcal{P}_F, \in\right)$. *If* $|F| > 5$ *then* $\mathrm{S}_F = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{X})$.

Proof. We already know that S_F is contained in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{X})$. It is also clear that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{M}_F) = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{E}_F)$ because $\mathbb{E}_F$ is the complement of $\mathbb{M}_F$.

The members of $\mathcal{T}_F$ have size 3 while those of $\mathcal{V}_F$ have size $|F| - 1$. For $|F| \neq 4$, this means that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{M}_F)$ leaves both $\mathcal{T}_F$ and $\mathcal{V}_F$ invariant. Now $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{M}_F)$ is contained in the automorphism group of $\left(\binom{F}{2}, \mathcal{T}_F, \in\right)$, of $\left(\binom{F}{2}, \mathcal{V}_F, \in\right)$, and of $\left(\binom{F}{2}, \mathcal{P}_F, \in\right)$, respectively.

Conversely, Lemma 3.1 yields $\mathrm{Aut}\left(\binom{F}{2}, \mathcal{T}_F, \in\right) \leq \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{M}_F)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}\left(\binom{F}{2}, \mathcal{P}_F, \in\right) \leq \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{E}_F) = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{M}_F)$. Finally, we have $\mathrm{Aut}\left(\binom{F}{2}, \mathcal{V}_F, \in\right) = \mathrm{S}_F$ by 3.2. □

3.4 Theorem. *The automorphism group of the Desargues configuration is* $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{D}) = \mathrm{S}_5$.

Proof. The group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ contains S_5 and is embedded in the automorphism group of the collinearity graph $\mathbb{C}_\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{M}_5$, see 2.4. Our claim follows from the fact that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{M}_5) = \mathrm{S}_5$, see 3.3. □

3.5 Examples. The small values $n \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ form exceptions to our general results, as follows:

(a) The symmetric group S_2 acts trivially on $\binom{2}{2}$.

(b) The graph $\mathbb{M}_3$ has only one type of maximal cliques; the set $\mathcal{V}_3$ does not consist of *maximal* cliques.

(c) The sets $\mathcal{T}_4$ and $\mathcal{V}_4$ are fused under the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{M}_4)$. The group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{M}_4)$ is indeed larger than S_4, it is a semi-direct product of S_3 with an elementary abelian group of order 2^3. Thus $|\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{M}_4)| = 2^4 \cdot 3 = 2\,|\mathrm{S}_4|$. Indeed, the complement graph $\mathbb{M}_4{}^c$ has 6 vertices and 3 edges; every vertex belongs to precisely one edge.

4 The doily

Generalized quadrangles play an important role in Jacques Tits' theory of buildings, but they are also a quite classical object of geometry because a large family of "classical" examples is provided by certain ruled quadrics. We will only consider the smallest specimen here (which represents the unique isomorphism type of generalized quadrangles of order 2, cf. [14]).

4.1 Example. Let $S := \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, and recall that $\mathcal{P}_S$ denotes the set of all partitions of S into three subsets of size 2, cf. 2.6. The incidence structure $\mathbb{W} := \left(\binom{S}{2}, \mathcal{P}_S, \in\right)$ is a generalized quadrangle (i.e., its incidence graph $\mathbb{J}_\mathbb{W}$ has diameter 4 and girth 8, and every vertex has valency at least three). In fact, it represents the isomorphism type of the smallest generalized quadrangle, known as the *doily*, see Fig. 4.

The incidence structure $\mathbb{W}$ is shown in Fig. 4, its incidence graph in Fig. 6. The collinearity graph of the doily is $\mathbb{C}_\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{E}_6$, shown in Fig. 5 (it is isomorphic to the confluence graph because the doily admits dualities, see 5.4).

4.2 Theorem. *The automorphism group of the doily is* $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{W}) = \mathrm{S}_6$.

Proof. This is a special case of 3.3. □

Figure 4: The doily $\mathbb{W}$, and its dual.

Figure 5: The collinearity graph $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{W}}$ of the doily.

5 Dualities

Recall that a *duality* of an incidence structure $\mathbb{P} = (P, B, I)$ is an isomorphism from $\mathbb{P}$ onto its dual $\mathbb{P}^*$. Every duality induces an automorphism of the incidence graph $\mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{P}}$ interchanging P with B. The duality is called a *polarity* if this automorphism of $\mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{P}}$ is an involution.

Both $\mathbb{D}$ and $\mathbb{W}$ admit polarities. These induce (via conjugation) involutory automorphisms

of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{D}) = S_5$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{W}) = S_6$, respectively. We study these in detail now.

5.1 Example. Let $F = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Interchanging X with its complement $F \smallsetminus X$ gives a polarity γ of $\mathbb{D} = \left(\binom{F}{2}, \binom{F}{3}, \in\right)$. This polarity commutes with every element $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{D}) = S_5$ because $F \smallsetminus X^\alpha = (F \smallsetminus X)^\alpha$. Therefore, it induces the identity on $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{D}) = S_5$, and $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{J}_\mathbb{D}) \cong S_2 \times S_5$.

Every other duality of $\mathbb{D}$ is obtained as $\gamma\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$, and induces an inner automorphism of S_5. We note that $\gamma\alpha$ is a polarity precisely if $\alpha^2 = \mathrm{id}$.

For $\beta, \sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ we have $\beta^{-1}(\gamma\sigma)\beta = \gamma\beta^{-1}\sigma\beta$. This yields that dualities $\gamma\alpha_1$ and $\gamma\alpha_2$ are conjugates under S_5 precisely if α_1 and α_2 are conjugates in S_5. Using the representatives $\tau = (01)$ and $\delta = (01)(24)$ of involutions in S_5, we obtain:

5.2 Theorem. *The set of all polarities of $\mathbb{D}$ is the union of three conjugacy classes, represented by γ, $\gamma\tau$, and $\gamma\delta$.*

5.3 Remark. One sees γ as the reflection at the center of the drawings of the incidence graph in Fig. 2(a), in Fig. 2(b), and also in Fig. 2(c) — note that the latter representations are meant to be three-dimensional. The reflection in a horizontal plane shows $\gamma\delta$ in Fig. 2(c), and a half-turn around the vertical axis describes $\gamma\tau$ in Fig. 2(b) (we also have to interchange the two inner vertices on the vertical axis).

An edge of the incidence graph is called an *absolute flag* with respect to a polarity if it is fixed by the polarity. One sees immediately that γ does not have any absolute flags at all. It is less obvious but still true that $\gamma\tau$ does not have absolute flags, cf. Fig. 2(b).

The set of absolute flags of $\gamma\delta$ is $\big\{\{02, 023\}, \{04, 034\}, \{12, 123\}, \{14, 134\}\big\}$; this is quite obvious from Fig. 2(c).

5.4 Examples. The doily $\mathbb{W}$ admits polarities.

One of these can be seen in the representation of the incidence graph $\mathbb{J}_\mathbb{W}$ in Fig. 6, as the reflection ρ at a vertical axis. The conjugate $\pi = (35)\rho(35)$ of the polarity ρ is also visible in Fig. 4: we map each label $p \in \binom{S}{2}$ used in the left image to the partition p^π used as the label for the same position in the right image.

For the sake of easy reference, we number the six holes, as shown in the drawing on the right. Then the polarity π can be described explicitly, as follows (we write elements of $\binom{S}{2}$ as sets here, for the sake of clarity):

- For a point of the form $\{5, j\}$ with $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, the image under π is the partition $\big\{\{5, j\}, \{j+1, j-1\}, \{j+2, j-2\}\big\}$.

- For $\{j, j+2\}$ with $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$; the image under π is $\big\{\{5, j+1\}, \{j, j-2\}, \{j+2, j-1\}\big\}$.

- For $\{j, j+1\}$ with $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$; the image under π is $\big\{\{5, j-2\}, \{j, j-1\}, \{j+1, j+2\}\big\}$.

Of course, addition in $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ is performed modulo 5.

We use different conjugates because the polarity ρ in Fig. 6 should invert[5] the "visible" automorphism $\varphi := (01234)$ of order 5, while the polarity π in Fig. 4 should centralize φ.

[5] The polarity ρ centralizes $\langle(01245)\rangle$.

Figure 6: The incidence graph $\mathbb{J}_{\mathbb{W}}$ of the doily —
and the complement of the confluence graph of the inversive plane Ip_3 of order 3.

Conjugation by a duality of an incidence structure $\mathbb{P}$ induces an automorphism of $\mathrm{Aut}\,(\mathbb{P})$. In particular, the polarity π of $\mathbb{W}$ induces an involutory automorphism of $\mathrm{Aut}\,(\mathbb{W}) = S_6$.

5.5 Theorem. *For any duality δ of $\mathbb{W}$, conjugation by δ induces an automorphism of S_6 that is not an inner automorphism of S_6. In particular, conjugation by the polarity π induces an involutory automorphism of S_6 that is not inner.*

Proof. For each $ab \in \binom{S}{2}$, the transposition $\tau = (ab) \in S_6$ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{W}$ fixing precisely 7 points; namely, the point ab and each point collinear with ab. The lines fixed by τ are precisely those through the point ab. Conjugation by any duality δ (such as π) interchanges the set of fixed points of τ with the set of fixed lines of $\delta^{-1}\tau\delta$. Thus τ and $\delta^{-1}\tau\delta$ cannot be conjugates in the group S_6.   □

5.6 Remark. Among all symmetric groups, the group S_6 is singled out by the existence of automorphisms that are not inner. For a nice proof, see [7]. An analogous result holds for the alternating groups, see [8], cf. [19, 2.4.1]. See [19, 2.4.2] for a purely group theoretic construction of an outer automorphism of S_6 (using the fact that S_5 has 6 Sylow 5-subgroups).

5.7 Classification of polarities. The doily is just the start $\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{W}(2)$ of the infinite family of (finite) *symplectic quadrangles* $\mathbb{W}(q)$ where q is a prime power. Note that $\mathbb{W}(q)$ admits dualities precisely if q is even, and that $\mathbb{W}(q)$ admits polarities precisely if q is even and not a square, cf. [12, 4.9]. One knows that there is just one conjugacy class of polarities, cf. [12, 5.4] (and use the fact that there is at most one Tits endomorphism in a *finite* field).

One could also derive directly from our present description that there is only one conjugacy class of polarities of the doily. However, this requires a detailed study of the action of π on (conjugacy classes in) S_6; cf. [17, 5.3].

6 Inversive planes

6.1 Definition. An incidence structure $\mathbb{I} = (P, C, I)$ is called a finite *inversive plane of order n* if for each point $p \in P$ the *affine derivation* $\mathbb{I}_p := (P \smallsetminus \{p\}, C_p, I_p)$ at p is an affine plane of order n; here I_p denotes the intersection of I with $(P \smallsetminus \{p\}) \times C_p$.

We note that this is not the standard definition of inversive plane, but equivalent to the usual one (see [1, 6.1, p. 253]) — and very well suited for our discussion here. The blocks of an inversive plane are called *circles* because of the following fact (which is, in fact, one of the axioms in the standard definition):

6.2 Lemma. *Through any set of three points in an inversive plane there is precisely one circle.* □

6.3 Example. We construct an inversive plane Ip_3 of order 3, as follows. As point set, we take the set P of all partitions of $S := \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ into three-element subsets. The set C of circles is the union of $\binom{S}{2}$ and the set of all partitions of S into elements of size two. We resume our convention that ab denotes $\{a, b\}$ and xyz denotes $\{x, y, z\}$.

If $xyz \in \binom{S}{3}$ then $[xyz]$ denotes the partition $\{xyz, S \smallsetminus xyz\}$. A point $[xyz]$ and a circle ab are incident if $xyz \cap ab$ has an even number of elements (i.e., if ab is completely contained in one of the members of the partition). The point $[xyz]$ is incident with the partition $\{ab, cd, ef\}$ if each one of the intersections $xyz \cap ab$, $xyz \cap ab$, and $xyz \cap ef$ has precisely one element.

Clearly S_6 acts by automorphisms on this incidence structure, and that action is transitive on the set of points.

The blocks of Ip_3 through 024 are those in

$$\Big\{02, 13, 24, 35, 40, 51, \{03, 14, 25\}, \{01, 23, 45\}, \{12, 34, 50\}, \{01, 25, 34\}, \{12, 30, 45\}, \{23, 41, 50\}\Big\}.$$

In Table 1, we list the point rows of these lines in columns (skipping the point 024 which belongs to each of these blocks); the black button on top indicates the line; the white buttons below denote the points. In Fig. 7 we have labeled the points of the affine plane of order 3 with these point labels; one checks without difficulty that the lines of Table 1 are those in the image of the affine plane. Thus we have verified that the affine derivation of Ip_3 at 024 is an affine plane of order 3. Transitivity of the automorphism group on the point set now gives that Ip_3 is indeed an inversive plane of order 3.

Table 1: The lines of the affine derivation of Ip_3 at 024.

The incidence graph and the collinearity or confluence graph of Ip_3 are quite complicated to draw. However, the *complement* of the confluence graph is rather simple: it is the same as the incidence graph of the doily, see Fig. 6.

6.4 Lemma. *The automorphism group of the inversive plane* Ip_3 *contains* S_6 *and is contained in* $Aut(\mathbb{J}_W) = Aut(S_6)$.

Proof. Clearly, we have $S_6 \leq Aut(Ip_3)$. The automorphism group of Ip_3 acts on the confluence graph $\mathbb{C}_{Ip_3^*}$ and then also on its complement graph. The latter is isomorphic to the incidence graph of the doily, and we obtain $Aut(Ip_3) \leq Aut(\mathbb{J}_W) = Aut(S_6)$, cf. 4.2. $\qquad\square$

6.5 Remark. There is only one isomorphism class of inversive planes, respectively, of order 2 or 3. For the planes of order 2, this is obvious; each such plane is isomorphic to $\left(F, \binom{F}{3}, \in\right)$, for any set F of order 5. The case of order 3 can be solved by direct and elementary arguments ([20], see 7.2 below); it also follows from a general — and much deeper — result, cf. [18].

The inversive planes of orders 2 and 3 are members of an infinite family of finite inversive planes, constructed as follows: Take the field $\mathbb{K} := \mathbb{F}_q$ of order q, and let $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ denote the quadratic extension field. The point set of the projective line over $\mathbb{K}$ is embedded in the point set $PG(1, \mathbb{L}) = \{\mathbb{L}v \mid v \in \mathbb{L}^2 \smallsetminus \{0\}\}$ of the projective line over $\mathbb{L}$ as $PG(1, \mathbb{K}) := \{\mathbb{L}v \mid v \in \mathbb{K}^2 \smallsetminus \{0\}\}$. We let $C_{\mathbb{K}}$ denote the orbit of this subset under the natural action of $P\Gamma L(2, \mathbb{L})$. Then $Ip_{\mathbb{K}} = (PG(1, \mathbb{L}), C_{\mathbb{K}}, \in)$ is an inversive plane of order $q = |\mathbb{K}|$. The full group of automorphisms of $Ip_{\mathbb{K}}$ is just the group $P\Gamma L(2, \mathbb{L})$, cf. [1, 6.4.1]. In 6.6 below, we will only need the rather obvious part of this assertion; namely, that $P\Gamma L(2, \mathbb{L})$ is contained in $Aut(Ip_{\mathbb{K}})$.

Of course, we have $Ip_3 \cong Ip_{\mathbb{F}_3}$, and $Aut(Ip_3) \cong P\Gamma L(2, 9)$ follows. This group has order $2^5 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 = 6! \cdot 2$.

6.6 Theorem. *The automorphism group of the inversive plane* Ip_3 *coincides with the automorphism group of the incidence graph of the doily; and thus with* $Aut(S_6)$.

Proof. It is clear that $P\Gamma L(2, 9)$ acts on $Ip_{\mathbb{F}_3}$. The isomorphism $Ip_{\mathbb{F}_3} \cong Ip_3$ from 6.5 thus yields $|Aut(Ip_3)| = |Aut(Ip_{\mathbb{F}_3})| \geq |P\Gamma L(2, 9)| = 2^5 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 = 6! \cdot 2 = 2|S_6|$. Now 6.6 yields the assertion. $\qquad\square$

As a corollary, we obtain one of the famous exceptional isomorphisms between finite simple groups:

6.7 Theorem. *The groups* $\mathrm{P\Gamma L}(2,9)$ *and* $\mathrm{Aut}(S_6)$ *are isomorphic, and so are their commutator subgroups* $\mathrm{PSL}(2,9)$ *and* A_6.

Note that $\mathrm{PGL}(2,9)$ and S_6 are not isomorphic; there are three different groups of order 6! between A_6 and $\mathrm{Aut}(S_6)$.

7 Uniqueness of small inversive planes

It is easy to see that affine planes and inversive planes of order 2 are unique up to isomorphism — we just obtain $\left(A, \binom{A}{2}, \in\right)$ and $\left(F, \binom{F}{3}, \in\right)$, with $|A| = 4$ and $|F| = 5$, respectively. Note that the automorphism groups are $\mathrm{Aut}\left(A, \binom{A}{2}, \in\right) = S_A \cong S_4$ and $\mathrm{Aut}\left(F, \binom{F}{3}, \in\right) = S_F \cong S_5$, respectively, cf. 3.2. Figure 7 gives graphical representations of the affine planes of order 2 and 3.


Figure 7: The affine planes of order 2 and 3.

7.1 Proposition. *Any two affine planes of order* 3 *are isomorphic. The automorphism group of any affine plane of order* 3 *acts transitively on the set of non-degenerate quadrangles (i.e., sets of four points such that no three of them are collinear).*

Proof. In any non-degenerate quadrangle Q in an affine plane of order 3, the six lines joining the four points form three sets such that two of them consist of parallel lines, the third contains two lines intersecting in a point outside Q.

We choose a quadrangle and draw the two classes of parallels to the two non-intersecting pairs of joining lines. Adding the missing lines (avoiding to add lines that contain two points that are joined already) one finds that these missing lines are just those marked in the drawing in Fig. 7. Thus there is just one isomorphism type of affine planes of order 3. As our drawing of the plane may start with any non-degenerate quadrangle, we obtain the transitivity assertion, as well. □

Now if we want to construct an inversive plane $\mathbb{I}$ of order 3, we may start with *the* affine plane of order 3 and take it as the affine derivation $\mathbb{I}_p$. Then it remains to find the circles not passing through p; each one of these is a set of four points in the affine plane such that no three of them are collinear. From 7.1 we infer that we may choose one circle S arbitrarily among the non-degenerate quadrangles. We have fixed such a choice in Fig. 8(a); it consists of the black points.

(a) starting with circle S (b) finding the circle Q_0

Figure 8: Finding circles for an inversive plane of order 3.

In $\mathbb{I}$, there are $1 + 9 = 10$ points, we have 12 circles through any given point (this is the number of lines in an affine plane of order 3), and every circle contains $1 + 3 = 4$ points. This yields that there are $10 \cdot 12$ flags, and thus $120/4 = 30$ circles. We know $12 + 1 = 13$ of them already, and proceed to determine the remaining ones. We use the observation 6.2 that each circle is determined by any three of its points.

Consider the circle Q_0 through the three points on the lower right corner of our drawing, marked black in the first image in 8(b). The circle S contains two of these points. Therefore, no other point of S (marked gray in the image) lies in Q_0. The points marked by dots are on lines containing two black points; thus none of these points belongs to Q_0. Only one point remains to complete Q_0. Anti-clockwise rotation of the triplet of points yields the circles Q_1, Q_2, and Q_3.

(a) finding the circle Y_0 (b) finding the circle R_0

Figure 9: Finding more circles for an inversive plane of order 3.

Now we start with the three black points in the image of 9(a). The gray points belong to Q_1 and Q_2, respectively. The dots mark points on lines through two of the black points. We obtain the circle Y_0, and rotation gives Y_1, Y_2, and Y_3.

We proceed in 9(b) and 10(a) in analogous ways: gray points belong to already established circles sharing two points with the new circle in question (we use Q_3, Y_0 in 9(b) and Q_3, S in 10(a)), and dots mark points on joining lines.

We have thus found the 17 circles $S, Q_0, Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, Y_0, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, R_0, R_1, R_2, R_3, J_0, J_1, J_2, J_3$ in addition to the 12 lines (i.e., circles through p). It remains to find one last circle L; this is done in 10(b) using Y_1 and Y_2 (or R_0 and R_1). After the choice of S (which was unique up to an automorphism of the affine plane) the set of the remaining circles is determined uniquely.

(a) finding the circle J_0 (b) finding the circle L

Figure 10: Finding the last circles for an inversive plane of order 3.

This means:

7.2 Theorem. *There is precisely one isomorphism type of inversive planes of order* 3. □

8 Further exceptional isomorphisms

We briefly mention two isomorphisms between classical groups that are related to the topics discussed in this note.

It is well known (cf. [5, I, 8.14]) that there is only one isomorphism class of simple groups of order 60, containing A_5, $PSL(2,4)$, $PSL(2,5)$ and the commutator group $\Omega^-(4,2)$ of the orthogonal group with respect to a quadratic form of Witt index 1 on a 4-dimensional vector space over the field with two elements.

The group $\Gamma L(2,4) \cong P\Gamma L(2,4)$ is contained in $Sp(4,2) \cong PSp(4,2) = P\Gamma Sp(4,2)$, the automorphism group of the symplectic quadrangle over the field with 2 elements. This yields a natural action of $P\Gamma L(2,4)$ on the doily; a spread is left invariant, and we find $P\Gamma L(2,4) \cong S_5$ See [16] for details on the embedding and the action, for general ground fields.

The dual of the symplectic quadrangle is (in the finite case) the orthogonal quadrangle defined by a quadratic form of Witt index 2 on a vector space of dimension 5. If the ground field admits a suitable quadratic extension (in particular, if the ground field is finite) then that vector space contains a hyperplane such that the restriction of the quadratic form has Witt index 1. This hyperplane then defines an ovoid in the orthogonal quadrangle, invariant under the corresponding orthogonal group. For the case of the finite field of order q, we obtain the group $O^-(4,q)$, and for $q = 2$ we find the group $O^-(4,2)$ in the stabilizer of an ovoid in the orthogonal quadrangle of order 2 (which is isomorphic to the doily, by uniqueness). The stabilizer in this smallest case is isomorphic to S_5, the orders coincide, and we obtain $O^-(4,2) \cong S_5$. The restriction of that isomorphism to the commutator groups is the isomorphism $\Omega^-(4,2) \cong A_5$ mentioned above.

References

[1] P. Dembowski, *Finite geometries*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 44, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1968. MR 0233275 (38 #1597). Zbl 0865.51004.

[2] D. Hilbert, *Grundlagen der Geometrie (Festschrift zur Feier der Enthüllung des Gauss-Weber-Denkmals in Göttingen)*, B. G. Teubner, Leipzig, 1899. JfM 30.0424.01.

[3] D. Hilbert and S. Cohn-Vossen, *Anschauliche Geometrie*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2nd edn., 1996, ISBN 3-540-59069-2. MR 0430930 (55 #3935). Zbl 0354.50001.

[4] D. R. Hughes and F. C. Piper, *Projective planes*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 6, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973, ISBN 978-0387900445. MR 0333959 (48 #12278). Zbl 0484.51011.

[5] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen. I*, Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 134, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967, ISBN 978-3540038252. MR 0224703 (37 #302). Zbl 0217.07201.

[6] F. Levi, *Geometrische Konfigurationen. Mit einer Einführung in die kombinatorische Flächentopologie*, S. Hirzel, Leipzig, 1929. Zbl 55.0351.03.

[7] H. Mäurer, *Zur Automorphismengruppe der symmetrischen Gruppen*, Mitt. Math. Ges. Hamburg **11** (1983), no. 2, 265–266, ISSN 0340-4358. MR 744532 (85k:20014). Zbl 0556.20006.

[8] H. Mäurer, *Die Automorphismengruppe des Durchschnittsgraphen der k-Teilmengen einer Menge*, Mitt. Math. Sem. Giessen **165** (1984), 69–76, ISSN 0373-8221. MR 745871 (85m:05040). Zbl 0538.05040.

[9] G. Pickert, *Projektive Ebenen*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Anwendungsgebiete LXXX, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1955. MR 0073211 (17,399e). Zbl 0066.38707.

[10] B. Polster, *A geometrical picture book*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998, ISBN 0-387-98437-2. MR 1640615 (99f:51003). Zbl 0914.51001.

[11] B. Polster, A. E. Schroth, and H. Van Maldeghem, *Generalized flatland*, Math. Intelligencer **23** (2001), no. 4, 33–47, ISSN 0343-6993, `doi:10.1007/BF03024601`. MR 1858644.

[12] M. J. Stroppel, *Polarities of symplectic quadrangles*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **10** (2003), no. 3, 437–449, ISSN 1370-1444. MR 2017454 (2004i:51004). Zbl 1040.51005. `http://projecteuclid.org/getRecord?id=euclid.bbms/1063372348`

[13] M. J. Stroppel, *Corrigenda to: "Polarities of symplectic quadrangles" [Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **10** (2003), no. 3, 437–449]*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **11** (2004), no. 4, 635, ISSN 1370-1444. MR 2115731 (2005h:51006). Zbl 1068.51002. `http://projecteuclid.org/getRecord?id=euclid.bbms/1102689127`

[14] M. J. Stroppel, *An affine proof of uniqueness for the smallest generalized quadrangles, including the determination of their automorphism groups*, Note Mat. **27** (2007), no. 1, 153–169, ISSN 1123-2536, `doi:10.1285/i15900932v27n1p153`. MR 2367763 (2008j:51006). Zbl 1195.51006.

[15] M. J. Stroppel, *Early explicit examples of non-desarguesian plane geometries*, J. Geom. **102** (2011), no. 1, 179–188, `doi:10.1007/s00022-011-0102-2`.

[16] M. J. Stroppel, *Actions of SL(2) on generalized quadrangles*, Manuscript, Stuttgart, 2012.

[17] M. J. Stroppel, *Buttons, holes and loops of string: lacing the doily*, Preprint 2012/006, Fachbereich Mathematik, Universität Stuttgart, Stuttgart, 2012, `http://www.mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de/preprints/downloads/2012/2012-006.pdf`.

[18] J. A. Thas, *The affine plane* AG(2, *q*), *q odd, has a unique one point extension*, Invent. Math. **118** (1994), no. 1, 133–139, ISSN 0020-9910, `doi:10.1007/BF01231529`. MR 1288470 (95i:51012). Zbl 0814.51002.

[19] R. A. Wilson, *The finite simple groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 251, Springer-Verlag London Ltd., London, 2009, ISBN 978-1-84800-987-5, `doi:10.1007/978-1-84800-988-2`. MR 2562037 (2011e:20018). Zbl 1203.20012.

[20] E. Witt, *Über Steinersche Systeme*, Abh. Math. Sem. Hansischen Univ. **12** (1938), 265–275, `doi:10.1007/BF02948948`. Zbl 0019.25106. JfM 64.0937.02.

Bernhild Stroppel

Markus Stroppel
Fachbereich Mathematik
Fakultät für Mathematik und Physik
Universität Stuttgart
D-70550 Stuttgart
Germany

Erschienene Preprints ab Nummer 2007/2007-001

2012-017 *Stroppel, B.; Stroppel, M.:*   Desargues, Doily, Dualities, and Exceptional Isomorphisms

2012-016 *Moroianu, A.; Pilca, M.; Semmelmann, U.:*   Homogeneous almost quaternion-Hermitian manifolds

2012-015 *Steinke, G.F.; Stroppel, M.J.:*   Simple groups acting two-transitively on the set of generators of a finite elation Laguerre plane

2012-014 *Steinke, G.F.; Stroppel, M.J.:*   Finite elation Laguerre planes admitting a two-transitive group on their set of generators

2012-013 *Diaz Ramos, J.C.; Dominguez Vázquez, M.; Kollross, A.:*   Polar actions on complex hyperbolic spaces

2012-012 *Moroianu; A.; Semmelmann, U.:*   Weakly complex homogeneous spaces

2012-011 *Moroianu; A.; Semmelmann, U.:*   Invariant four-forms and symmetric pairs

2012-010 *Hamilton, M.J.D.:*   The closure of the symplectic cone of elliptic surfaces

2012-009 *Hamilton, M.J.D.:*   Iterated fibre sums of algebraic Lefschetz fibrations

2012-008 *Hamilton, M.J.D.:*   The minimal genus problem for elliptic surfaces

2012-007 *Ferrario, P.:*   Partitioning estimation of local variance based on nearest neighbors under censoring

2012-006 *Stroppel, M.:*   Buttons, Holes and Loops of String: Lacing the Doily

2012-005 *Hantsch, F.:*   Existence of Minimizers in Restricted Hartree-Fock Theory

2012-004 *Grundhöfer, T.; Stroppel, M.; Van Maldeghem, H.:*   Unitals admitting all translations

2012-003 *Hamilton, M.J.D.:*   Representing homology classes by symplectic surfaces

2012-002 *Hamilton, M.J.D.:*   On certain exotic 4-manifolds of Akhmedov and Park

2012-001 *Jentsch, T.:*   Parallel submanifolds of the real 2-Grassmannian

2011-028 *Spreer, J.:*   Combinatorial 3-manifolds with cyclic automorphism group

2011-027 *Griesemer, M.; Hantsch, F.; Wellig, D.:*   On the Magnetic Pekar Functional and the Existence of Bipolarons

2011-026 *Müller, S.:*   Bootstrapping for Bandwidth Selection in Functional Data Regression

2011-025 *Felber, T.; Jones, D.; Kohler, M.; Walk, H.:*   Weakly universally consistent static forecasting of stationary and ergodic time series via local averaging and least squares estimates

2011-024 *Jones, D.; Kohler, M.; Walk, H.:*   Weakly universally consistent forecasting of stationary and ergodic time series

2011-023 *Györfi, L.; Walk, H.:*   Strongly consistent nonparametric tests of conditional independence

2011-022 *Ferrario, P.G.; Walk, H.:*   Nonparametric partitioning estimation of residual and local variance based on first and second nearest neighbors

2011-021 *Eberts, M.; Steinwart, I.:*   Optimal regression rates for SVMs using Gaussian kernels

2011-020 *Frank, R.L.; Geisinger, L.:*   Refined Semiclassical Asymptotics for Fractional Powers of the Laplace Operator

2011-019 *Frank, R.L.; Geisinger, L.:*   Two-term spectral asymptotics for the Dirichlet Laplacian on a bounded domain

2011-018 *Hänel, A.; Schulz, C.; Wirth, J.:* Embedded eigenvalues for the elastic strip with cracks

2011-017 *Wirth, J.:* Thermo-elasticity for anisotropic media in higher dimensions

2011-016 *Höllig, K.; Hörner, J.:* Programming Multigrid Methods with B-Splines

2011-015 *Ferrario, P.:* Nonparametric Local Averaging Estimation of the Local Variance Function

2011-014 *Müller, S.; Dippon, J.:* k-NN Kernel Estimate for Nonparametric Functional Regression in Time Series Analysis

2011-013 *Knarr, N.; Stroppel, M.:* Unitals over composition algebras

2011-012 *Knarr, N.; Stroppel, M.:* Baer involutions and polarities in Moufang planes of characteristic two

2011-011 *Knarr, N.; Stroppel, M.:* Polarities and planar collineations of Moufang planes

2011-010 *Jentsch, T.; Moroianu, A.; Semmelmann, U.:* Extrinsic hyperspheres in manifolds with special holonomy

2011-009 *Wirth, J.:* Asymptotic Behaviour of Solutions to Hyperbolic Partial Differential Equations

2011-008 *Stroppel, M.:* Orthogonal polar spaces and unitals

2011-007 *Nagl, M.:* Charakterisierung der Symmetrischen Gruppen durch ihre komplexe Gruppenalgebra

2011-006 *Solanes, G.; Teufel, E.:* Horo-tightness and total (absolute) curvatures in hyperbolic spaces

2011-005 *Ginoux, N.; Semmelmann, U.:* Imaginary Kählerian Killing spinors I

2011-004 *Scherer, C.W.; Köse, I.E.:* Control Synthesis using Dynamic D-Scales: Part II — Gain-Scheduled Control

2011-003 *Scherer, C.W.; Köse, I.E.:* Control Synthesis using Dynamic D-Scales: Part I — Robust Control

2011-002 *Alexandrov, B.; Semmelmann, U.:* Deformations of nearly parallel G_2-structures

2011-001 *Geisinger, L.; Weidl, T.:* Sharp spectral estimates in domains of infinite volume

2010-018 *Kimmerle, W.; Konovalov, A.:* On integral-like units of modular group rings

2010-017 *Gauduchon, P.; Moroianu, A.; Semmelmann, U.:* Almost complex structures on quaternion-Kähler manifolds and inner symmetric spaces

2010-016 *Moroianu, A.; Semmelmann,U.:* Clifford structures on Riemannian manifolds

2010-015 *Grafarend, E.W.; Kühnel, W.:* A minimal atlas for the rotation group $SO(3)$

2010-014 *Weidl, T.:* Semiclassical Spectral Bounds and Beyond

2010-013 *Stroppel, M.:* Early explicit examples of non-desarguesian plane geometries

2010-012 *Effenberger, F.:* Stacked polytopes and tight triangulations of manifolds

2010-011 *Györfi, L.; Walk, H.:* Empirical portfolio selection strategies with proportional transaction costs

2010-010 *Kohler, M.; Krzyżak, A.; Walk, H.:* Estimation of the essential supremum of a regression function

2010-009 *Geisinger, L.; Laptev, A.; Weidl, T.:* Geometrical Versions of improved Berezin-Li-Yau Inequalities

2010-008 *Poppitz, S.; Stroppel, M.:* Polarities of Schellhammer Planes

2010-007 *Grundhöfer, T.; Krinn, B.; Stroppel, M.:* Non-existence of isomorphisms between certain unitals

2010-006 *Höllig, K.; Hörner, J.; Hoffacker, A.:*   Finite Element Analysis with B-Splines: Weighted and Isogeometric Methods

2010-005 *Kaltenbacher, B.; Walk, H.:*   On convergence of local averaging regression function estimates for the regularization of inverse problems

2010-004 *Kühnel, W.; Solanes, G.:*   Tight surfaces with boundary

2010-003 *Kohler, M; Walk, H.:*   On optimal exercising of American options in discrete time for stationary and ergodic data

2010-002 *Gulde, M.; Stroppel, M.:*   Stabilizers of Subspaces under Similitudes of the Klein Quadric, and Automorphisms of Heisenberg Algebras

2010-001 *Leitner, F.:*   Examples of almost Einstein structures on products and in cohomogeneity one

2009-008 *Griesemer, M.; Zenk, H.:*   On the atomic photoeffect in non-relativistic QED

2009-007 *Griesemer, M.; Moeller, J.S.:*   Bounds on the minimal energy of translation invariant n-polaron systems

2009-006 *Demirel, S.; Harrell II, E.M.:*   On semiclassical and universal inequalities for eigenvalues of quantum graphs

2009-005 *Bächle, A, Kimmerle, W.:*   Torsion subgroups in integral group rings of finite groups

2009-004 *Geisinger, L.; Weidl, T.:*   Universal bounds for traces of the Dirichlet Laplace operator

2009-003 *Walk, H.:*   Strong laws of large numbers and nonparametric estimation

2009-002 *Leitner, F.:*   The collapsing sphere product of Poincaré-Einstein spaces

2009-001 *Brehm, U.; Kühnel, W.:*   Lattice triangulations of E^3 and of the 3-torus

2008-006 *Kohler, M.; Krzyżak, A.; Walk, H.:*   Upper bounds for Bermudan options on Markovian data using nonparametric regression and a reduced number of nested Monte Carlo steps

2008-005 *Kaltenbacher, B.; Schöpfer, F.; Schuster, T.:*   Iterative methods for nonlinear ill-posed problems in Banach spaces: convergence and applications to parameter identification problems

2008-004 *Leitner, F.:*   Conformally closed Poincaré-Einstein metrics with intersecting scale singularities

2008-003 *Effenberger, F.; Kühnel, W.:*   Hamiltonian submanifolds of regular polytope

2008-002 *Hertweck, M.; Höfert, C.R.; Kimmerle, W.:*   Finite groups of units and their composition factors in the integral group rings of the groups $PSL(2,q)$

2008-001 *Kovarik, H.; Vugalter, S.; Weidl, T.:*   Two dimensional Berezin-Li-Yau inequalities with a correction term

2007-006 *Weidl, T.:*   Improved Berezin-Li-Yau inequalities with a remainder term

2007-005 *Frank, R.L.; Loss, M.; Weidl, T.:*   Polya's conjecture in the presence of a constant magnetic field

2007-004 *Ekholm, T.; Frank, R.L.; Kovarik, H.:*   Eigenvalue estimates for Schrödinger operators on metric trees

2007-003 *Lesky, P.H.; Racke, R.:*   Elastic and electro-magnetic waves in infinite waveguides

2007-002 *Teufel, E.:*   Spherical transforms and Radon transforms in Moebius geometry

2007-001 *Meister, A.:*   Deconvolution from Fourier-oscillating error densities under decay and smoothness restrictions