

**Universität  
Stuttgart**

**Fachbereich  
Mathematik**

---

$L_2$ - and  $S_{p,q}^r B$ -discrepancy of (order 2) digital nets

Lev Markhasin

---

**Preprint 2014/004**

Fachbereich Mathematik  
Fakultät Mathematik und Physik  
Universität Stuttgart  
Pfaffenwaldring 57  
D-70 569 Stuttgart

**E-Mail:** [preprints@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de](mailto:preprints@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de)  
**WWW:** <http://www.mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de/preprints>

ISSN **1613-8309**

© Alle Rechte vorbehalten. Nachdruck nur mit Genehmigung des Autors.  
L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X-Style: Winfried Geis, Thomas Merkle

# $L_2$ - and $S_{p,q}^r B$ -discrepancy of (order 2) digital nets

Lev Markhasin

Institut für Stochastik und Anwendungen, Universität Stuttgart, Pfaffenwaldring 57, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany

email: lev.markhasin@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de

March 10, 2014

Dick proved that all order 2 digital nets satisfy optimal upper bounds of the  $L_2$ -discrepancy. We give an alternative proof for this fact using Haar bases. Furthermore, we prove that all digital nets satisfy optimal upper bounds of the  $S_{p,q}^r B$ -discrepancy for a certain parameter range and enlarge that range for order 2 digital nets.  $L_p$ -,  $S_{p,q}^r F$ - and  $S_p^r H$ -discrepancy is considered as well.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11K06, 11K38, 42C10, 46E35, 65C05.

*Key words and phrases.*  $L_2$ -discrepancy, order 2 digital nets, dominating mixed smoothness, quasi-Monte Carlo, Haar system, Walsh system.

## 1 Introduction and results

Let  $N$  be some positive integer and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a point set in the unit cube  $[0, 1)^d$  with  $N$  points. Then the discrepancy function  $D_{\mathcal{P}}$  is defined as

$$D_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{z \in \mathcal{P}} \chi_{[0,x)}(z) - x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_d. \quad (1)$$

for any  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in [0, 1)^d$ . By  $\chi_{[0,x)}$  we mean the characteristic function of the interval  $[0, x) = [0, x_1) \times \dots \times [0, x_d)$ , so the term  $\sum_z \chi_{[0,x)}(z)$  is equal to  $\#(\mathcal{P} \cap [0, x))$ .

This means that  $D_{\mathcal{P}}$  measures the deviation of the number of points of  $\mathcal{P}$  in  $[0, x)$  from the fair number of points  $N|[0, x)| = N x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_d$  which would be achieved by a (practically impossible) perfectly uniform distribution of the points of  $\mathcal{P}$ , normalized by the total number of points.

Usually one is interested in calculating the norm of the discrepancy function in some normed space of functions on  $[0, 1)^d$  to which the discrepancy function belongs. A well known result concerns  $L_p([0, 1)^d)$ -spaces for  $1 < p < \infty$ . There exists a constant  $c_{p,d} > 0$  such that for every positive integer  $N$  and all point sets  $\mathcal{P}$  in  $[0, 1)^d$  with  $N$  points, we have

$$\left\| D_{\mathcal{P}}|_{L_p([0, 1)^d)} \right\| \geq c_{p,d} \frac{(\log N)^{(d-1)/2}}{N}. \quad (2)$$

It was proved by Roth [R54] for  $p = 2$  and by Schmidt [S77] for arbitrary  $1 < p < \infty$ . The best value for  $c_{2,d}$  can be found in [HM11]. Furthermore, there exists a constant  $C_{p,d} > 0$  such that for every positive integer  $N$ , there exists a point set  $\mathcal{P}$  in  $[0, 1)^d$  with  $N$  points such that

$$\left\| D_{\mathcal{P}}|_{L_p(\mathbb{Q}^d)} \right\| \leq C_{p,d} \frac{(\log N)^{(d-1)/2}}{N}. \quad (3)$$

It was proved by Davenport [D56] for  $p = 2, d = 2$ , by Roth [R80] for  $p = 2$  and arbitrary  $d$  and finally by Chen [C80] in the general case. The best value for  $C_{2,d}$  can be found in [DP10] and [FPPS10].

There are results for  $L_1([0, 1)^d)$ - and star ( $L_\infty([0, 1)^d)$ -) discrepancy though there are still gaps between lower and upper bounds, see [H81], [S72], [BLV08]. As general references for studies of the discrepancy function we refer to the monographs [DP10], [NW10], [M99], [KN74] and surveys [B11], [Hi14], [M13c].

Roth's and Chen's original proofs of (3) were probabilistic. Explicit constructions of point sets with good  $L_p$ -discrepancy in arbitrary dimension have not been known for a long time. Chen and Skriganov [CS02] (see also [CS08] and [DP10]) gave constructions with optimal bound of the  $L_2$ -discrepancy and Skriganov [S06] later proved the  $L_p$  bound. The constructions of Chen and Skriganov were order 1 digital nets with large Hamming weight. Dick and Pillichshammer [DP14a] (see also [DP14b]) gave alternative constructions. Their constructions are order 3 digital nets. Dick [D14] proved then the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *There exists a constant  $C_{d,b,v} > 0$  such that for every positive integer  $n$*

and every order 2 digital  $(v, n, d)$ -net  $\mathcal{P}_n^b$  in base  $b$  we have

$$\left\| D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b} |L_2([0, 1]^d) \right\| \leq C_{d,b,v} \frac{n^{(d-1)/2}}{b^n}.$$

In this work we give an alternative proof for this fact.

Furthermore, there are results for the discrepancy in other function spaces, like Hardy spaces, logarithmic and exponential Orlicz spaces, weighted  $L_p$ -spaces, BMO (see [B11] for results and further literature).

Here, we are interested in Besov  $(S_{p,q}^r B([0, 1]^d))$ , Triebel-Lizorkin  $(S_{p,q}^r F([0, 1]^d))$  and Sobolev  $(S_p^r H([0, 1]^d))$  spaces with dominating mixed smoothness. Triebel [T10] proved that for all  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$  with  $q < \infty$  if  $p = \infty$  and all  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $1/p - 1 < r < 1/p$ , there exists a constant  $c_{p,q,r,d} > 0$  such that for every integer  $N \geq 2$  and all point sets  $\mathcal{P}$  in  $[0, 1]^d$  with  $N$  points, we have

$$\left\| D_{\mathcal{P}} |S_{p,q}^r B([0, 1]^d) \right\| \geq c_{p,q,r,d} N^{r-1} (\log N)^{(d-1)/q} \quad (4)$$

and with the additional condition that  $q > 1$  if  $p = \infty$  there exists a constant  $C_{p,q,r,d} > 0$  such that for every positive integer  $N$ , there exists a point set  $\mathcal{P}$  in  $[0, 1]^d$  with  $N$  points and we have

$$\left\| D_{\mathcal{P}} |S_{p,q}^r B([0, 1]^d) \right\| \leq C_{p,q,r,d} N^{r-1} (\log N)^{(d-1)(1/q+1-r)}.$$

Hinrichs [Hi10] proved for  $d = 2$  that for all  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$  and all  $0 \leq r < 1/p$  there exists a constant  $C_{p,q,r} > 0$  such that for every integer  $N \geq 2$  there exists a point set  $\mathcal{P}$  in  $[0, 1]^2$  with  $N$  points such that

$$\left\| D_{\mathcal{P}} |S_{p,q}^r B([0, 1]^2) \right\| \leq C_{p,q,r} N^{r-1} (\log N)^{1/q}.$$

Markhasin [M13b] proved that for all  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$  and all  $0 < r < 1/p$  there exists a constant  $C_{p,q,r,d} > 0$  such that for every integer  $N \geq 2$  there exists a point set  $\mathcal{P}$  in  $[0, 1]^d$  with  $N$  points such that

$$\left\| D_{\mathcal{P}} |S_{p,q}^r B([0, 1]^d) \right\| \leq C_{p,q,r,d} N^{r-1} (\log N)^{(d-1)/q}. \quad (5)$$

Explicit point sets with optimal bounds of  $S_{p,q}^r B$ -discrepancy used in [M13b] are the already mentioned point sets by Chen and Skriganov. In  $d = 2$  also (generalized) Hammersley point sets can be used (see [Hi10], [M13a]). Our goal is to prove that there are way more point sets with optimal bounds of the  $S_{p,q}^r B$ -discrepancy. Furthermore

there are results for spaces  $S_{p,q}^r F([0, 1]^d)$  and  $S_p^r H([0, 1]^d)$  in [M13c].

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$  and  $0 < r < 1/p$ . There exists a constant  $C_{p,q,r,d,b,v} > 0$  such that for every integer  $n$  and every order 1 digital  $(v, n, d)$ -net  $\mathcal{P}_n^b$  in base  $b$  we have*

$$\left\| D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b} | S_{p,q}^r B([0, 1]^d) \right\| \leq C_{p,q,r,d,b,v} b^{n(r-1)} n^{(d-1)/q}.$$

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ , ( $q > 1$  if  $p = \infty$ ) and  $0 \leq r < 1/p$ . There exists a constant  $C_{p,q,r,d,b,v} > 0$  such that for every positive integer  $n$  and every order 2 digital  $(v, n, d)$ -net  $\mathcal{P}_n^b$  in base  $b$  we have*

$$\left\| D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b} | S_{p,q}^r B([0, 1]^d) \right\| \leq C_{p,q,r,d,b,v} b^{n(r-1)} n^{(d-1)/q}.$$

**Corollary 1.4.** *Let  $1 \leq p, q < \infty$  and  $0 < r < 1/\max(p, q)$ . There exists a constant  $C_{p,q,r,d,b,v} > 0$  such that for every positive integer  $n$  and every order 1 digital  $(v, n, d)$ -net  $\mathcal{P}_n^b$  in base  $b$  we have*

$$\left\| D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b} | S_{p,q}^r F([0, 1]^d) \right\| \leq C_{p,q,r,d,b,v} b^{n(r-1)} n^{(d-1)/q}.$$

**Corollary 1.5.** *Let  $1 \leq p, q < \infty$  and  $0 \leq r < 1/\max(p, q)$ . There exists a constant  $C_{p,q,r,d,b,v} > 0$  such that for every positive integer  $n$  and every order 2 digital  $(v, n, d)$ -net  $\mathcal{P}_n^b$  in base  $b$  we have*

$$\left\| D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b} | S_{p,q}^r F([0, 1]^d) \right\| \leq C_{p,q,r,d,b,v} b^{n(r-1)} n^{(d-1)/q}.$$

**Corollary 1.6.** *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $0 < r < 1/\max(p, 2)$ . There exists a constant  $C_{p,r,d,b,v} > 0$  such that for every positive integer  $n$  and every order 1 digital  $(v, n, d)$ -net  $\mathcal{P}_n^b$  in base  $b$  we have*

$$\left\| D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b} | S_p^r H([0, 1]^d) \right\| \leq C_{p,r,d,b,v} b^{n(r-1)} n^{(d-1)/2}.$$

**Corollary 1.7.** *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $0 \leq r < 1/\max(p, 2)$ . There exists a constant  $C_{p,r,d,b,v} > 0$  such that for every positive integer  $n$  and every order 2 digital  $(v, n, d)$ -net  $\mathcal{P}_n^b$  in base  $b$  we have*

$$\left\| D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b} | S_p^r H([0, 1]^d) \right\| \leq C_{p,r,d,b,v} b^{n(r-1)} n^{(d-1)/2}.$$

**Theorem 1.8.** *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . There exists a constant  $C_{p,d,b,v} > 0$  such that for every*

positive integer  $n$  and every order 2 digital  $(v, n, d)$ -net  $\mathcal{P}_n^b$  in base  $b$  we have

$$\left\| D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b} |L_p([0, 1]^d) \right\| \leq C_{p,d,b,v} \frac{n^{(d-1)/2}}{b^n}.$$

We point out that obviously Theorem 1.1 is a consequence of Theorem 1.8. Nevertheless, we will prove them independently, so that readers without a background in function spaces with dominating mixed smoothness (which is required for the proof of Theorem 1.8) will be able to understand the proof of the  $L_2$  bound.

Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 are consistent with older results. Chen-Skriganov point sets are order 1 digital  $(v, n, d)$ -nets while (generalized) Hammersley point sets are order 2 digital  $(0, n, 2)$ -nets

## 2 Function spaces with dominating mixed smoothness

We define the spaces  $S_{p,q}^r B([0, 1]^d)$ ,  $S_{p,q}^r F([0, 1]^d)$  and  $S_p^r H([0, 1]^d)$  according to [T10]. Let  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  denote the Schwartz space and  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  the space of tempered distributions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Let  $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  satisfy  $\varphi_0(x) = 1$  for  $|x| \leq 1$  and  $\varphi_0(x) = 0$  for  $|x| > \frac{3}{2}$ . Let  $\varphi_k(x) = \varphi_0(2^{-k}x) - \varphi_0(2^{-k+1}x)$  where  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varphi_k(x) = \varphi_{k_1}(x_1) \dots \varphi_{k_d}(x_d)$  where  $k = (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ ,  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . The functions  $\varphi_k$  are a dyadic resolution of unity since

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \varphi_k(x) = 1$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . The functions  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi_k \mathcal{F}f)$  are entire analytic functions for every  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

Let  $0 < p, q \leq \infty$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . The Besov space with dominating mixed smoothness  $S_{pq}^r B(\mathbb{R}^d)$  consists of all  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with finite quasi-norm

$$\left\| f |S_{pq}^r B(\mathbb{R}^d) \right\| = \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} 2^{r(k_1 + \dots + k_d)q} \left\| \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi_k \mathcal{F}f) |L_p(\mathbb{R}^d) \right\|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad (6)$$

with the usual modification if  $q = \infty$ .

Let  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < q \leq \infty$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . The Triebel-Lizorkin space with dominating

mixed smoothness  $S_{pq}^r F(\mathbb{R}^d)$  consists of all  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with finite quasi-norm

$$\|f|S_{pq}^r F(\mathbb{R}^d)\| = \left\| \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} 2^{r(k_1 + \dots + k_d)q} |\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi_k \mathcal{F}f)(\cdot)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} |L_p(\mathbb{R}^d) \right\| \quad (7)$$

with the usual modification if  $q = \infty$ .

Let  $\mathcal{D}([0, 1]^d)$  consist of all complex-valued infinitely differentiable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with compact support in the interior of  $[0, 1]^d$  and let  $\mathcal{D}'([0, 1]^d)$  be its dual space of all distributions in  $[0, 1]^d$ . The Besov space with dominating mixed smoothness  $S_{pq}^r B([0, 1]^d)$  consists of all  $f \in \mathcal{D}'([0, 1]^d)$  with finite quasi-norm

$$\|f|S_{pq}^r B([0, 1]^d)\| = \inf \left\{ \|g|S_{pq}^r B(\mathbb{R}^d)\| : g \in S_{pq}^r B(\mathbb{R}^d), g|_{[0, 1]^d} = f \right\}. \quad (8)$$

The Triebel-Lizorkin space with dominating mixed smoothness  $S_{pq}^r F([0, 1]^d)$  consists of all  $f \in \mathcal{D}'([0, 1]^d)$  with finite quasi-norm

$$\|f|S_{pq}^r F([0, 1]^d)\| = \inf \left\{ \|g|S_{pq}^r F(\mathbb{R}^d)\| : g \in S_{pq}^r F(\mathbb{R}^d), g|_{[0, 1]^d} = f \right\}. \quad (9)$$

The spaces  $S_{pq}^r B(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $S_{pq}^r F(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $S_{pq}^r B([0, 1]^d)$  and  $S_{pq}^r F([0, 1]^d)$  are quasi-Banach spaces. We define the Sobolev space with dominating mixed smoothness as

$$S_p^r H([0, 1]^d) = S_{p2}^r F([0, 1]^d). \quad (10)$$

If  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$  then it is denoted by  $S_p^r W([0, 1]^d)$  and is called classical Sobolev space with dominating mixed smoothness. An equivalent norm for  $S_p^r W([0, 1]^d)$  is

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d: 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq r} \|D^\alpha f|L_p([0, 1]^d)\|.$$

Of special interest is the case  $r = 0$  since

$$S_p^0 H([0, 1]^d) = L_p([0, 1]^d).$$

The Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces can be embedded in each other (see [T10] or [M13c, Corollary 1.13]). We point out that the following embedding is a combination of well known results and might look odd at the first glance.



**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $0 < p, q < \infty$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then we have*

$$S_{\max(p,q),q}^r B([0,1]^d) \hookrightarrow S_{pq}^r F([0,1]^d) \hookrightarrow S_{\min(p,q),q}^r B([0,1]^d).$$

### 3 Haar and Walsh bases

We denote  $\mathbb{N}_{-1} = \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{-1\}$ . Let  $b \geq 2$  be an integer. We denote  $\mathbb{D}_j = \{0, 1, \dots, b^j - 1\}$  and  $\mathbb{B}_j = \{1, \dots, b-1\}$  for  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\mathbb{D}_{-1} = \{0\}$  and  $\mathbb{B}_{-1} = \{1\}$ . For  $j = (j_1, \dots, j_d) \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d$  let  $\mathbb{D}_j = \mathbb{D}_{j_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{D}_{j_d}$  and  $\mathbb{B}_j = \mathbb{B}_{j_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{B}_{j_d}$ . For a real  $a$  we write  $a_+ = \max(a, 0)$  and for  $j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d$  we write  $|j|_+ = j_{1+} + \dots + j_{d+}$ .

For  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{D}_j$  we call the interval

$$I_{j,m} = [b^{-j}m, b^{-j}(m+1))$$

the  $m$ -th  $b$ -adic interval in  $[0, 1)$  on level  $j$ . We put  $I_{-1,0} = [0, 1)$  and call it the 0-th  $b$ -adic interval in  $[0, 1)$  on level  $-1$ . For any  $k = 0, \dots, b-1$  let  $I_{j,m}^k = I_{j+1, bm+k}$ . We put  $I_{-1,0}^{-1} = I_{-1,0} = [0, 1)$ . For  $j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d$  and  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{D}_j$  we call

$$I_{j,m} = I_{j_1, m_1} \times \dots \times I_{j_d, m_d}$$

the  $m$ -th  $b$ -adic interval in  $[0, 1)^d$  on level  $j$ . We call the number  $|j|_+$  the order of the  $b$ -adic interval  $I_{j,m}$ . Its volume is  $b^{-|j|_+}$ .

Let  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{D}_j$  and  $l \in \mathbb{B}_j$ . Let  $h_{j,m,l}$  be the function on  $[0, 1)$  with support in  $I_{j,m}$  and the constant value  $e^{\frac{2\pi i}{b}lk}$  on  $I_{j,m}^k$  for any  $k = 0, \dots, b-1$ . We put  $h_{-1,0,1} = \chi_{I_{-1,0}}$  on  $[0, 1)$ .

Let  $j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{D}_j$  and  $l = (l_1, \dots, l_d) \in \mathbb{B}_j$ . The function  $h_{j,m,l}$  given as the tensor product

$$h_{j,m,l}(x) = h_{j_1, m_1, l_1}(x_1) \dots h_{j_d, m_d, l_d}(x_d)$$

for  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in [0, 1)^d$  is called a  $b$ -adic Haar function on  $[0, 1)^d$ . The functions  $h_{j,m,l}$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{D}_j$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{B}_j$  are called  $b$ -adic Haar basis on  $[0, 1)^d$ .

The following result is [M13c, Theorem 2.1].

**Theorem 3.1.** *The system*

$$\left\{ b^{\frac{|j|_+}{2}} h_{j,m,l} : j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d, m \in \mathbb{D}_j, l \in \mathbb{B}_j \right\}$$

*is an orthonormal basis of  $L_2([0, 1)^d)$ , an unconditional basis of  $L_p([0, 1)^d)$  for  $1 < p < \infty$*

and a conditional basis of  $L_1([0, 1]^d)$ . For any function  $f \in L_2([0, 1]^d)$  we have

$$\|f|_{L_2([0, 1]^d)}\|^2 = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d} b^{|j|} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{D}_j, l \in \mathbb{B}_j} |\langle f, h_{j,m,l} \rangle|^2.$$

The following result is [M13c, Theorem 2.11].

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ , ( $q > 1$  if  $p = \infty$ ) and  $1/p - 1 < r < \min(1/p, 1)$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{D}'([0, 1]^d)$ . Then  $f \in S_{pq}^r B([0, 1]^d)$  if and only if it can be represented as*

$$f = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d} b^{|j|+} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{D}_j, l \in \mathbb{B}_j} \mu_{j,m,l} h_{j,m,l} \quad (11)$$

for some sequence  $(\mu_{j,m,l})$  satisfying

$$\left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d} b^{|j|+(r-1/p+1)q} \left( \sum_{m \in \mathbb{D}_j, l \in \mathbb{B}_j} |\mu_{j,m,l}|^p \right)^{q/p} \right)^{1/q} < \infty. \quad (12)$$

The convergence of (11) is unconditional in  $\mathcal{D}'([0, 1]^d)$  and in any  $S_{pq}^\rho B([0, 1]^d)$  with  $\rho < r$ . The representation (11) of  $f$  is unique with the  $b$ -adic Haar coefficients  $\mu_{j,m,l} = \langle f, h_{j,m,l} \rangle$ . The expression (12) is an equivalent quasi-norm on  $S_{pq}^r B([0, 1]^d)$ .

For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$  with the  $b$ -adic expansion  $\alpha = \beta_{a_1-1} b^{a_1-1} + \dots + \beta_{a_\nu-1} b^{a_\nu-1}$  with  $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_\nu$  and digits  $\beta_{a_1-1}, \dots, \beta_{a_\nu-1} \in \{1, \dots, b-1\}$ , the NRT weight of order  $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$  is given by

$$\varrho_\sigma(\alpha) = a_\nu + a_{\nu-1} + \dots + a_{\max(\nu-\sigma+1, 1)}.$$

Furthermore,  $\varrho_\sigma(0) = 0$ .

For  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ , the NRT weight of order  $\sigma$  is given by

$$\varrho_\sigma(\alpha) = \varrho_\sigma(\alpha_1) + \dots + \varrho_\sigma(\alpha_d).$$

Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ . The  $\alpha$ -th  $b$ -adic Walsh function  $\text{wal}_\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is given by

$$\text{wal}_\alpha(x) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{b}(\beta_{a_1-1} x_{a_1} + \dots + \beta_{a_\nu-1} x_\nu)}$$

for  $x \in [0, 1]$  with  $b$ -adic expansion  $x = x_1 b^{-1} + x_2 b^{-2} + \dots$ . Furthermore,  $\text{wal}_0 = \chi_{[0,1]}$ .

Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ . Then the  $\alpha$ -th  $b$ -adic Walsh function  $\text{wal}_\alpha$  on  $[0, 1]^d$  is

given as the tensor product

$$\text{wal}_\alpha(x) = \text{wal}_{\alpha_1}(x^1) \dots \text{wal}_{\alpha_d}(x^d)$$

for  $x = (x^1, \dots, x^d) \in [0, 1]^d$ . The functions  $\text{wal}_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$  are called  $b$ -adic Walsh basis on  $[0, 1]^d$ .

The  $b$ -adic Walsh function  $\text{wal}_\alpha$  is constant on  $b$ -adic intervals  $I_{(\varrho_1(\alpha_1), \dots, (\varrho_1(\alpha_d)), m}$  for every  $m \in \mathbb{D}_{(\varrho_1(\alpha_1), \dots, (\varrho_1(\alpha_d))}$ . The following result is [DP10, Theorem A.11].

**Lemma 3.3.** *The system*

$$\left\{ \text{wal}_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \right\}$$

*is an orthonormal basis of  $L_2([0, 1]^d)$ .*

#### 4 Digital $(v, n, d)$ -nets

We quote from [DP14a] and [D07] to describe the digital construction method and properties of resulting digital nets. We also refer to [N87] and [NP01].

For an integer  $b \geq 2$  let  $\mathbb{Z}_b$  denote the commutative ring of integers modulo  $b$ . For  $s, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $s \geq n$  let  $C_1, \dots, C_d$  be  $s \times n$  matrices with entries from  $\mathbb{Z}_b$ . For  $\nu \in \{0, 1, \dots, b^n - 1\}$  with the  $b$ -adic expansion  $\nu = \nu_0 + \nu_1 b + \dots + \nu_{n-1} b^{n-1}$  with digits  $\nu_0, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_{n-1} \in \{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}$  the  $b$ -adic digit vector  $\bar{\nu}$  is given as  $\bar{\nu} = (\nu_0, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_{n-1})^\top \in \mathbb{Z}_b^n$ . Then we compute  $C_i \bar{\nu} = (x_{i,\nu,1}, x_{i,\nu,2}, \dots, x_{i,\nu,s})^\top \in \mathbb{Z}_b^s$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . Finally we define

$$x_{i,\nu} = x_{i,\nu,1} b^{-1} + x_{i,\nu,2} b^{-2} + \dots + x_{i,\nu,s} b^{-s} \in [0, 1)$$

and  $x_\nu = (x_{1,\nu}, \dots, x_{d,\nu})$ . We call the point set  $\mathcal{P}_n^b = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{b^n-1}\}$  a digital net in base  $b$ .

Now let  $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$  and suppose  $s \geq \sigma n$ . Let  $0 \leq v \leq \sigma n$  be an integer. For every  $1 \leq i \leq d$  we write  $C_i = (c_{i,1}, \dots, c_{i,s})^\top$  where  $c_{i,1}, \dots, c_{i,s} \in \mathcal{P}_n^b$  are the row vectors of  $C_i$ . If for all  $1 \leq \lambda_{i,1} < \dots < \lambda_{i,\eta_i} \leq s$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d$  with

$$\lambda_{1,1} + \dots + \lambda_{1,\min(\eta_1,\sigma)} + \dots + \lambda_{d,1} + \dots + \lambda_{d,\min(\eta_d,\sigma)} \leq \sigma n - v$$

the vectors  $c_{1,\lambda_{1,1}}, \dots, c_{1,\lambda_{1,\eta_1}}, \dots, c_{d,\lambda_{d,1}}, \dots, c_{d,\lambda_{d,\eta_d}}$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}_b$ , then  $\mathcal{P}_n^b$  is called an order  $\sigma$  digital  $(v, n, d)$ -net in base  $b$ .

**Lemma 4.1.**

- (i) Let  $v < \sigma n$ . Then every order  $\sigma$  digital  $(v, n, d)$ -net in base  $b$  is an order  $\sigma$  digital  $(v + 1, n, d)$ -net in base  $b$ . In particular every point set  $\mathcal{P}_n^b$  constructed with the digital method is at least an order  $\sigma$  digital  $(\sigma n, n, d)$ -net in base  $b$ .
- (ii) Let  $1 \leq \sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2$ . Then every order  $\sigma_2$  digital  $(v, n, d)$ -net in base  $b$  is an order  $\sigma_1$  digital  $(\lceil v\sigma_1/\sigma_2 \rceil, n, d)$ -net in base  $b$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $\mathcal{P}_n^b$  be an order  $\sigma$  digital  $(v, n, d)$ -net in base  $b$  then every  $b$ -adic interval of order  $n - v$  contains exactly  $b^v$  points of  $\mathcal{P}_n^b$ .

Let  $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $b$ -adic expansion  $t = \tau_0 + \tau_1 b + \tau_2 b^2 + \dots$ . We put  $\bar{t} = (\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{s-1})^\top \in \mathbb{Z}_b^s$  and define

$$\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{C}) = \left\{ t = (t_1, \dots, t_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\} : C_1^\top \bar{t}_1 + \dots + C_d^\top \bar{t}_d = (0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}_b^n \right\}.$$

**Lemma 4.3.**  $\mathcal{P}_n^b$  is an order  $\sigma$  digital  $(v, n, d)$ -net in base  $b$  if and only if  $\varrho_\sigma(t) > \sigma n - v$  for all  $t \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{C})$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $\mathcal{P}_n^b$  be an order  $\sigma$  digital  $(v, n, d)$ -net in base  $b$  with generating matrices  $C_1, \dots, C_d$ . Then

$$\sum_{z \in \mathcal{P}_n^b} \text{wal}_t(z) = \begin{cases} b^n & \text{if } t \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{C}), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We consider the Walsh series expansion of the function  $\chi_{[0,x]}$ ,

$$\chi_{[0,x]}(y) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \hat{\chi}_{[0,x]}(t) \text{wal}_t(y), \quad (13)$$

where for  $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$  the  $t$ -th Walsh coefficient is given by

$$\hat{\chi}_{[0,x]}(t) = \int_0^1 \chi_{[0,x]}(y) \overline{\text{wal}_t(y)} dy = \int_0^x \overline{\text{wal}_t(y)} dy.$$

**Lemma 4.5.** Let  $\mathcal{P}_n^b$  be an order  $\sigma$  digital  $(v, n, d)$ -net in base  $b$  with generating matrices  $C_1, \dots, C_d$ . Then

$$D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}(x) = \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{C})} \hat{\chi}_{[0,x]}(t).$$

*Proof.* For  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$  and  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in [0, 1]^d$ , we have

$$\hat{\chi}_{[0,x]}(t) = \hat{\chi}_{[0,x_1]}(t_1) \cdot \dots \cdot \hat{\chi}_{[0,x_d]}(t_d).$$

Applying Lemma 4.4 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{\mathcal{P}}(x) &= \frac{1}{b^n} \sum_{z \in \mathcal{P}_n^b} \sum_{t_1, \dots, t_d=0}^{\infty} \hat{\chi}_{[0,x]}(t) \text{wal}_t(z) - \hat{\chi}_{[0,x]}((0, \dots, 0)) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{t_1, \dots, t_d=0 \\ (t_1, \dots, t_d) \neq (0, \dots, 0)}}^{\infty} \hat{\chi}_{[0,x]}(t) \frac{1}{b^n} \sum_{z \in \mathcal{P}} \text{wal}_t(z) \\
&= \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{C})} \hat{\chi}_{[0,x]}(t).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Several constructions of order  $\sigma$  digital  $(v, n, d)$ -nets are known. For details, examples and further literature we refer to [DP14b]. There are especially constructions with a good quality parameter  $v$ , e. g. we can construct order 2 digital  $(d, n, d)$ -nets in base  $b$  as well as order 1 digital  $(0, n, d)$ -nets.

## 5 Proofs of the results

For two sequences  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  we will write  $a_n \preceq b_n$  if there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that  $a_n \leq c b_n$  for all  $n$ . For  $t > 0$  with  $b$ -adic expansion  $t = \tau_0 + \tau_1 b + \dots + \tau_{\varrho_1(t)-1} b^{\varrho_1(t)-1}$ , we put  $t = t' + \tau_{\varrho_1(t)-1} b^{\varrho_1(t)-1}$ .

The following result is [M13b, Lemma 5.1].

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $f(x) = x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_d$  for  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in [0, 1]^d$ . Let  $j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{D}_j$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{B}_j$ . Then  $|\langle f, h_{j,m,l} \rangle| \preceq b^{-2|j|_+}$ .*

The following result is [M13b, Lemma 5.2].

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_d) \in [0, 1]^d$  and  $g(x) = \chi_{[0,x]}(z)$  for  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in [0, 1]^d$ . Let  $j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{D}_j$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{B}_j$ . Then  $\langle g, h_{j,m,l} \rangle = 0$  if  $z$  is not contained in the interior of the  $b$ -adic interval  $I_{j,m}$ . If  $z$  is contained in the interior of  $I_{j,m}$  then  $|\langle g, h_{j,m,l} \rangle| \preceq b^{-|j|_+}$ .*

The following result is [M13b, Lemma 5.9].

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{D}_j$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{B}_j$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ . Then*

$$|\langle h_{j,m,l}, \text{wal}_\alpha \rangle| \preceq b^{-|j|_+}.$$

If  $\varrho_1(\alpha_i) \neq j_i + 1$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq d$  then

$$\langle h_{j,m,l}, \text{wal}_\alpha \rangle = 0.$$

The following result is [M13b, Lemma 5.10].

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $t, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then*

$$|\langle \hat{\chi}_{[0,\cdot)}(t), \text{wal}_\alpha \rangle| \leq b^{-\max(\varrho_1(t), \varrho_1(\alpha))}.$$

If  $\alpha \neq t'$  and  $\alpha \neq t$  and  $\alpha' \neq t$  then

$$\langle \hat{\chi}_{[0,\cdot)}(t), \text{wal}_\alpha \rangle = 0.$$

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let  $C_1, \dots, C_d \in \mathbb{Z}_b^{s \times n}$  generate an order 1 digital  $(v, n, d)$ -net in base  $b$ . Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Let  $\omega_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d}^{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d}(\mathfrak{C})$  denote the cardinality of such  $t \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{C})$  with  $\varrho_1(t_i) = \gamma_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq d$  that either  $\gamma_i \leq \lambda_i$  or  $\varrho_1(t'_i) = \lambda_i$ . If  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d \leq s$  then*

$$\omega_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d}^{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d}(\mathfrak{C}) \leq (b-1)^d b^{(\min(\lambda_1, \gamma_1 - 1) + \dots + \min(\lambda_d, \gamma_d - 1) - n + v)_+}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_d) \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{C})$  with  $\varrho_1(t_i) = \gamma_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq d$  and either  $\gamma_i \leq \lambda_i$  or  $\varrho_1(t'_i) = \lambda_i$ . Let  $t_i$  have  $b$ -adic expansion  $t_i = \tau_{i,0} + \tau_{i,1}b + \tau_{i,2}b^2 + \dots$ . Let  $C_i = (c_{i,1}, \dots, c_{i,s})^\top$ , put  $\lambda_i^* = \min(\lambda_i, \gamma_i - 1)$  and  $c_{i,\gamma_i} = (0, \dots, 0)$  if  $\gamma_i > s$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & c_{1,1}^\top \tau_{1,0} + \dots + c_{1,\lambda_1^*}^\top \tau_{1,\lambda_1^*-1} + c_{1,\gamma_1}^\top \tau_{1,\gamma_1-1} + \\ & \vdots \\ & + c_{d,1}^\top \tau_{d,0} + \dots + c_{d,\lambda_d^*}^\top \tau_{d,\lambda_d^*-1} + c_{d,\gamma_d}^\top \tau_{d,\gamma_d-1} = (0, \dots, 0)^\top \in \mathbb{Z}_b^n. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

We put

$$\begin{aligned} A &= (c_{1,1}^\top, \dots, c_{1,\lambda_1^*}^\top, \dots, c_{d,1}^\top, \dots, c_{d,\lambda_d^*}^\top) \in \mathbb{Z}_b^{n \times (\lambda_1^* + \dots + \lambda_d^*)}, \\ y &= (\tau_{1,0}, \dots, \tau_{1,\lambda_1^*-1}, \dots, \tau_{d,0}, \dots, \tau_{d,\lambda_d^*-1})^\top \in \mathbb{Z}_b^{(\lambda_1^* + \dots + \lambda_d^*) \times 1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$w = -c_{1,\gamma_1}^\top \tau_{1,\gamma_1-1} - \dots - c_{d,\gamma_d}^\top \tau_{d,\gamma_d-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_b^{n \times 1}.$$

Then (14) corresponds to  $Ay = w$  and we have

$$\omega_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d}^{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d}(\mathfrak{C}) = \#\{y \in \mathbb{Z}_b^{\lambda_1^* + \dots + \lambda_d^*} : Ay = w\}.$$

Since  $C_1, \dots, C_d$  generate an order 1 digital  $(v, n, d)$ -net, the rank of  $A$  is  $\lambda_1^* + \dots + \lambda_d^*$  if  $\lambda_1^* + \dots + \lambda_d^* \leq n - v$ . In this case the solution space of the homogeneous system  $Ay = (0, \dots, 0)$  has dimension 0. If  $\lambda_1^* + \dots + \lambda_d^* > n - v$  then  $\text{rank}(A) \geq n - v$  and the dimension of the solution space of the homogeneous system is  $\lambda_1^* + \dots + \lambda_d^* - \text{rank}(A) \leq \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_d - n + v$ . This means that for a given  $w$  the system  $Ay = w$  has at most 1 solution if  $\lambda_1^* + \dots + \lambda_d^* \leq n - v$  and at most  $b^{\lambda_1^* + \dots + \lambda_d^* - n + v}$  otherwise. Finally, there are  $(b - 1)^d$  possible choices for  $w$  since none of the numbers  $\tau_{1, \gamma_1 - 1}, \dots, \tau_{d, \gamma_d - 1}$  can be 0.  $\square$

We point out that the condition  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d \leq s$  is not necessary. It just reduces the technicalities but the results would be the same without it. One would have to define  $\lambda_i^{**} = \min(\lambda_i^*, s)$  and in the case where  $\lambda_i^* > s$  we would get an additional factor  $b^{\lambda_i^* - s}$  compensating the restriction.

**Proposition 5.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}_n^b$  be an order 1 digital  $(v, n, d)$ -net in base  $b$ . Let  $j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{D}_j$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{B}_j$ .*

(i) *If  $|j|_+ \geq n - v$  then  $|\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle| \leq b^{-|j|_+ - n + v}$  and  $|\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle| \leq b^{-2|j|_+}$  for all but at most  $b^n$  values of  $m$ .*

(ii) *If  $|j|_+ < n - v$  then  $|\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle| \leq b^{-|j|_+ - n + v} (n - v - |j|_+)^{d-1}$ .*

*Proof.* For (i), let  $|j|_+ \geq n - v$ . Since  $\mathcal{P}_n^b$  contains exactly  $b^n$  points, there are no more than  $b^n$  such  $m$  for which  $I_{j,m}$  contains a point of  $\mathcal{P}_n^b$  meaning that at least all but  $b^n$  intervals contain no points at all. Thus the second statement follows from Lemma 5.1. The remaining intervals contain at most  $b^v$  points of  $\mathcal{P}_n^b$  (Lemma 4.2) so the first statement follows from Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2.

We now prove (ii) so let  $|j|_+ < n - v$  and  $m \in \mathbb{D}_j$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{B}_j$ . The function  $h_{j,m,l}$  can be given (Lemma 3.3) as

$$h_{j,m,l} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \langle h_{j,m,l}, \text{wal}_\alpha \rangle \text{wal}_\alpha.$$

We apply Lemmas 4.5, 5.3 and 5.4 and get

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle| &= \left| \left\langle \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{C})} \hat{\chi}_{[0,\cdot)}(t), \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \langle h_{jml}, \text{wal}_\alpha \rangle \text{wal}_\alpha \right\rangle \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{C})} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \left| \langle \hat{\chi}_{[0,\cdot)}(t), \text{wal}_\alpha \rangle \right| |\langle h_{jml}, \text{wal}_\alpha \rangle| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{C})} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \\ \varrho_1(\alpha_i) = j_i + 1 \\ 1 \leq i \leq d}} \left| \langle \hat{\chi}_{[0, \cdot)}(t), \text{wal}_\alpha \rangle \right| \\
&\leq b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{C})} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \\ \alpha_i = t'_i \vee \alpha = t_i \vee \alpha'_i = t_i \\ \varrho_1(\alpha_i) = j_i + 1, 1 \leq i \leq d}} b^{-\max(\varrho_1(\alpha_1), \varrho_1(t_1)) - \dots - \max(\varrho_1(\alpha_1), \varrho_1(t_d))} \\
&= b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{\substack{t \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{C}) \\ \varrho_1(t_i) \leq j_i + 1 \vee \varrho_1(t'_i) = j_i + 1 \\ 1 \leq i \leq d}} b^{-\max(j_1 + 1, \varrho_1(t_1)) - \dots - \max(j_d + 1, \varrho_1(t_d))} \\
&= b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d = 0}^{\infty} b^{-\max(j_1 + 1, \gamma_1) - \dots - \max(j_d + 1, \gamma_d)} \omega_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d}^{j_1 + 1, \dots, j_d + 1}(\mathfrak{C}). \quad (15)
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.5 we get

$$\omega_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d}^{j_1 + 1, \dots, j_d + 1}(\mathfrak{C}) \leq (b - 1)^d b^d$$

since  $j_1 + 1, \dots, j_d + 1 \leq n - v \leq s$  and  $j_1 + 1 + \dots + j_d + 1 \leq |j|_+ + d < n - v + d$ .

We recall that we have  $\varrho_1(t) > n - v$  for all  $t \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{C})$ . This means that  $\omega_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d}^{j_1 + 1, \dots, j_d + 1}(\mathfrak{C}) = 0$  whenever  $\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_d \leq n - v$ . Therefore  $\omega_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d}^{j_1 + 1, \dots, j_d + 1}(\mathfrak{C}) = 0$  if  $\gamma_i \leq j_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . For any  $I \subset \{1, \dots, d\}$  let  $I^c = \{1, \dots, d\} \setminus I$ . We perform an index shift to get

$$\begin{aligned}
| \langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j, m, l} \rangle | &\leq b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d = 0 \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_d > n - v}}^{\infty} b^{-\max(j_1 + 1, \gamma_1) - \dots - \max(j_d + 1, \gamma_d)} \\
&= b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, d\}} b^{-\sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} (j_{\kappa_1} + 1)} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \gamma_{i_1} \leq j_{i_1} \\ i_1 \in I}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_{i_2} \geq j_{i_2} + 1 \\ i_2 \in I^c}} b^{-\sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} \gamma_{\kappa_2}} \\
&\quad \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_d \geq \max \left( n - v + 1, \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2} + 1) \right) \\
&= b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, d\}} b^{-\sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} (j_{\kappa_1} + 1) - \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2} + 1)} \dots \\
&\quad \dots \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \gamma_{i_1} \leq j_{i_1} \\ i_1 \in I}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_{i_2} \geq 0, i_2 \in I^c}} b^{-\sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} \gamma_{\kappa_2}} \\
&\quad \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} \gamma_{\kappa_2} \geq \left( n - v - \sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} \gamma_{\kappa_1} - \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2} + 1) + 1 \right)_+ \\
&\leq b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, d\}} b^{-\sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} (j_{\kappa_1} + 1) - \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2} + 1)} \dots
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& \dots \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \gamma_{i_1} \leq j_{i_1} \\ i_1 \in I}} \sum_{r=\left(n-v-\sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} \gamma_{\kappa_1} - \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2}+1)+1\right)_+}^{\infty} b^{-r} (r+1)^{d-1-\#I} \\
& \leq b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, d\}} b^{-\sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} (j_{\kappa_1}+1) - \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2}+1)} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \gamma_{i_1} \leq j_{i_1} \\ i_1 \in I}} b^{-n+v+\sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} \gamma_{\kappa_1} + \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2}+1)} \times \\
& \quad \times \left( n - v - \sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} \gamma_{\kappa_1} - \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2} + 1) + 1 \right)_+^{d-1-\#I} \\
& \leq b^{-|j|_+ - n + v} \sum_{I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, d\}} b^{-\sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} (j_{\kappa_1}+1)} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \gamma_{i_1} \leq j_{i_1} \\ i_1 \in I}} b^{\sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} \gamma_{\kappa_1}} \times \\
& \quad \times \left( n - v - \sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} \gamma_{\kappa_1} - \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2} + 1) + 1 \right)_+^{d-1} \\
& \leq b^{-|j|_+ - n + v} \sum_{I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, d\}} b^{-\sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} (j_{\kappa_1}+1)} \sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} b^{j_{\kappa_1}+1} \\
& \quad \times \left( n - v - \sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} (j_{\kappa_1} + 1) - \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2} + 1) + 1 \right)_+^{d-1} \\
& \leq b^{-|j|_+ - n + v} (n - v - |j|_+)^{d-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 5.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}_n^b$  be an order 2 digital  $(v, n, d)$ -net in base  $b$ . Let  $j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{D}_j$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{B}_j$ .*

(i) *If  $|j|_+ \geq n - \lceil v/2 \rceil$  then  $|\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle| \leq b^{-|j|_+ - n + v/2}$  and  $|\mu_{j,m,l}(D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b})| \leq b^{-2|j|_+}$  for all but  $b^n$  values of  $m$ .*

(ii) *If  $|j|_+ < n - \lceil v/2 \rceil$  then  $|\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle| \leq b^{-2n+v} (2n - v - 2|j|_+)^{d-1}$ .*

*Proof.* According to Lemma 4.1,  $\mathcal{P}_n^b$  is an order 1 digital  $(\lceil v/2 \rceil, n, d)$ -net. Hence (i) follows from Proposition 5.6.

We now prove (ii) so let  $|j|_+ < n - \lceil v/2 \rceil$  and  $m \in \mathbb{D}_j$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{B}_j$ . We start at (15) and recall that we have  $\varrho_2(t) > 2n - v$  for all  $t \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{C})$ . This means that  $\omega_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d}^{j_1+1, \dots, j_d+1}(\mathfrak{C}) = 0$  whenever  $\gamma_1 + \min(\gamma_1, j_1 + 1) + \dots + \gamma_d + \min(\gamma_d, j_d + 1) \leq 2n - v$ . We argue similarly

to the proof of Proposition 5.6 to get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle| &\leq b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d=0}^{\infty} b^{-\max(j_1+1, \gamma_1) - \dots - \max(j_d+1, \gamma_d)} \omega_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d}^{j_1+1, \dots, j_d+1} \\
&\leq b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d=0 \\ \gamma_1 + \min(\gamma_1, j_1+1) + \dots + \gamma_d + \min(\gamma_d, j_d+1) > 2n-v}}^{\infty} b^{-\max(j_1+1, \gamma_1) - \dots - \max(j_d+1, \gamma_d)} \\
&= b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, d\}} b^{-\sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} (j_{\kappa_1}+1)} \dots \\
&\quad \dots \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \gamma_{i_1} \leq j_{i_1} \\ i_1 \in I}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_{i_2} \geq j_{i_2}+1 \\ i_2 \in I^c}} b^{-\sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} \gamma_{\kappa_2}} \\
&\quad \quad \quad 2 \sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} \gamma_{\kappa_1} + \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (\gamma_{\kappa_2} + j_{\kappa_2} + 1) \geq \max \left( 2n-v+1, 2 \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2}+1) \right) \\
&= b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, d\}} b^{-\sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} (j_{\kappa_1}+1) - \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2}+1)} \dots \\
&\quad \dots \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \gamma_{i_1} \leq j_{i_1} \\ i_1 \in I}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_{i_2} \geq 0, i_2 \in I^c}} b^{-\sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} \gamma_{\kappa_2}} \\
&\quad \quad \quad \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} \gamma_{\kappa_2} \geq \left( 2n-v-2 \sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} \gamma_{\kappa_1} - 2 \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2}+1) + 1 \right)_+ \\
&\leq b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, d\}} b^{-\sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} (j_{\kappa_1}+1) - \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2}+1)} \dots \\
&\quad \dots \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \gamma_{i_1} \leq j_{i_1} \\ i_1 \in I}} \sum_{r=\left( 2n-v-2 \sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} \gamma_{\kappa_1} - 2 \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2}+1) + 1 \right)_+}^{\infty} b^{-r} (r+1)^{d-1-\#I} \\
&\leq b^{-|j|_+} \sum_{I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, d\}} b^{-\sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} (j_{\kappa_1}+1) - \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2}+1)} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \gamma_{i_1} \leq j_{i_1} \\ i_1 \in I}} b^{-2n+v+2 \sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} \gamma_{\kappa_1} + 2 \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2}+1)} \times \\
&\quad \times \left( 2n-v-2 \sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} \gamma_{\kappa_1} - 2 \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2}+1) + 1 \right)^{d-1-\#I} \\
&\leq b^{-|j|_+ - 2n+v} \sum_{I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, d\}} b^{-\sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} (j_{\kappa_1}+1) + \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2}+1)} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \gamma_{i_1} \leq j_{i_1} \\ i_1 \in I}} b^{2 \sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} \gamma_{\kappa_1}} \times
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left( 2n - v - 2 \sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} \gamma_{\kappa_1} - 2 \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2} + 1) + 1 \right)^{d-1} \\
& \leq b^{-|j|_+ - 2n + v} \sum_{I \subsetneq \{1, \dots, d\}} b^{\sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} (j_{\kappa_1} + 1) + \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2} + 1)} \times \\
& \quad \times \left( 2n - v - 2 \sum_{\kappa_1 \in I} (j_{\kappa_1} + 1) - 2 \sum_{\kappa_2 \in I^c} (j_{\kappa_2} + 1) + 1 \right)^{d-1} \\
& \leq b^{-2n+v} (2n - v - 2|j|_+)^{d-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

We are now ready to prove the theorems.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}$  be an order 2 digital  $(v, n, d)$ -net in base  $b$ . We apply Theorem 3.1, hence we need to prove

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d} b^{|j|_+} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{D}_j, l \in \mathbb{B}_j} |\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle|^2 \leq b^{-2n+v} n^{d-1} v.$$

We recall that  $\#\mathbb{D}_j = b^{|j|_+}$ ,  $\#\mathbb{B}_j = b - 1$ . We split the sum and apply Proposition 5.7 to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d} b^{|j|_+} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{D}_j, l \in \mathbb{B}_j} |\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle|^2 \\
& \stackrel{|j|_+ < n - \lceil v/2 \rceil}{\leq} \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d \\ |j|_+ < n - \lceil v/2 \rceil}} b^{|j|_+} b^{|j|_+} b^{-4n+2v} (2n - v - 2|j|_+)^{2(d-1)} \\
& \leq b^{-4n+2v} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{n-v/2-1} b^{2\kappa} (2n - v - 2\kappa)^{2(d-1)} (\kappa + 1)^{d-1} \\
& \leq b^{-4n+2v} b^{2n-v} (2n - v - 2n + v)^{2(d-1)} (n - v/2)^{d-1} \\
& \leq b^{-2n+v} n^{d-1}
\end{aligned}$$

for big intervals,

$$\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d \\ n > |j|_+ \geq n - \lceil v/2 \rceil}} b^{|j|_+} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{D}_j, l \in \mathbb{B}_j} |\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle|^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\preceq \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d \\ n > |j|_+ \geq n - \lceil v/2 \rceil}} b^{|j|_+} b^{|j|_+} b^{-2|j|_+ - 2n + v} \\
&\leq b^{-2n+v} \sum_{\kappa = n - \lceil v/2 \rceil}^{n-1} (\kappa + 1)^{d-1} \\
&\preceq b^{-2n+v} n^{d-1} v
\end{aligned}$$

for middle intervals and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d \\ |j|_+ \geq n}} b^{|j|_+} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{D}_j, l \in \mathbb{B}_j} |\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle|^2 \\
&\preceq \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d \\ |j|_+ \geq n}} b^{|j|_+} b^n b^{-2|j|_+ - 2n + v} + \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d \\ |j|_+ \geq n}} b^{|j|_+} (b^{|j|_+} - b^n) b^{-4|j|_+} \\
&\leq b^{-n+v} \sum_{\kappa = n}^{\infty} b^{-\kappa} (\kappa + 1)^{d-1} + \sum_{\kappa = n}^{\infty} b^{-2\kappa} (\kappa + 1)^{d-1} \\
&\preceq b^{-2n+v} n^{d-1}
\end{aligned}$$

for small intervals. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Let  $D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}$  be an order 1 digital  $(v, n, d)$ -net in base  $b$ . We apply Theorem 3.2, hence we need to prove

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d} b^{|j|_+ (r-1/p+1)q} \left( \sum_{m \in \mathbb{D}_j, l \in \mathbb{B}_j} |\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle|^p \right)^{q/p} \preceq b^{n(r-1)q} n^{(d-1)q} b^{vq}.$$

We recall that  $\#\mathbb{D}_j = b^{|j|_+}$ ,  $\#\mathbb{B}_j = b - 1$ . We split the sum and apply Minkowski's inequality and Proposition 5.6 to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d \\ |j|_+ < n-v}} b^{|j|_+ (r-1/p+1)q} \left( \sum_{m \in \mathbb{D}_j, l \in \mathbb{B}_j} |\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle|^p \right)^{q/p} \\
&\preceq \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d \\ |j|_+ < n-v}} b^{|j|_+ (r-1/p+1)q} b^{|j|_+ q/p} b^{(-|j|_+ - n + v)q} (n - v - |j|_+)^{(d-1)q} \\
&\leq b^{(-n+v)q} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{n-v-1} b^{\kappa r q} (n - v - \kappa)^{(d-1)q} (\kappa + 1)^{d-1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq b^{(-n+v)q} b^{(n-v)rq} (n-v+1)^{d-1} \\
&\preceq b^{n(r-1)q} n^{d-1} b^{v(1-r)q}
\end{aligned}$$

for big intervals,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d \\ n > |j|_+ \geq n-v}} b^{|j|_+(r-1/p+1)q} \left( \sum_{m \in \mathbb{D}_j, l \in \mathbb{B}_j} |\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle|^p \right)^{q/p} \\
&\preceq \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d \\ n > |j|_+ \geq n-v}} b^{|j|_+(r-1/p+1)q} b^{|j|_+q/p} b^{(-|j|_+-n+v)q} \\
&\leq b^{(-n+v)q} \sum_{\kappa=n-v}^{n-1} b^{\kappa r q} (\kappa+1)^{d-1} \\
&\preceq b^{(-n+v)q} b^{nrq} n^{d-1} \\
&\leq b^{n(r-1)q} n^{(d-1)} b^{vq}
\end{aligned}$$

for middle intervals and considering the range of  $r$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d \\ |j|_+ \geq n}} b^{|j|_+(r-1/p+1)q} \left( \sum_{m \in \mathbb{D}_j, l \in \mathbb{B}_j} |\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle|^p \right)^{q/p} \\
&\preceq \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d \\ |j|_+ \geq n}} b^{|j|_+(r-1/p+1)q} b^{nq/p} b^{(-|j|_+-n+v)q} + \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d \\ |j|_+ \geq n}} b^{|j|_+(r-1/p+1)q} (b^{|j|_+} - b^n)^{q/p} b^{-2|j|_+q} \\
&\leq b^{nq/p} b^{(-n+v)q} \sum_{\kappa=n}^{\infty} b^{\kappa(r-1/p)q} (\kappa+1)^{d-1} + \sum_{\kappa=n}^{\infty} b^{\kappa(r-1)q} (\kappa+1)^{d-1} \\
&\preceq b^{nq/p} b^{(-n+v)q} b^{n(r-1/p)q} n^{d-1} + b^{n(r-1)q} n^{d-1} \\
&\preceq b^{n(r-1)q} n^{(d-1)} b^{vq}
\end{aligned}$$

for small intervals. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Let  $D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}$  be an order 2 digital  $(v, n, d)$ -net in base  $b$ . The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.2. We apply Proposition 5.7 instead of 5.6 to get

$$\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d \\ |j|_+ < n - \lceil v/2 \rceil}} b^{|j|_+(r-1/p+1)q} \left( \sum_{m \in \mathbb{D}_j, l \in \mathbb{B}_j} |\langle D_{\mathcal{P}_n^b}, h_{j,m,l} \rangle|^p \right)^{q/p}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^d \\ |j|_+ < n - \lceil v/2 \rceil}} b^{|j|_+ + (r-1/p+1)q} b^{|j|_+ q/p} b^{(-2n+v)q} (2n - v - 2|j|_+)^{(d-1)q} \\
&\leq b^{(-2n+v)q} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{n-v/2-1} b^{\kappa(r+1)q} (2n - v - 2\kappa)^{(d-1)q} (\kappa + 1)^{d-1} \\
&\leq b^{(-2n+v)q} b^{(n-v/2)(r+1)q} (n - v/2 + 1)^{d-1} \\
&\leq b^{n(r-1)q} n^{d-1} b^{v/2(1-r)q}
\end{aligned}$$

and analogous results for the other subsums.  $\square$

*Proof of Corollaries 1.4, 1.5, 1.6 and 1.7.* The results for the Triebel-Lizorkin spaces follow from Theorem 2.1 and Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, respectively. The results for the Sobolev spaces then follow in the case  $q = 2$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.8.* The result follows from Corollary 1.7 in the case  $r = 0$ .  $\square$

## References

- [B11] D. Bilyk, *On Roth's orthogonal function method in discrepancy theory*. Unif. Distrib. Theory **6** (2011), 143–184.
- [BLV08] D. Bilyk, M. T. Lacey, A. Vagharshakyan, *On the small ball inequality in all dimensions*. J. Funct. Anal. **254** (2008), 2470–2502.
- [BTY12] D. Bilyk, V. N. Temlyakov, R. Yu, *Fibonacci sets and symmetrization in discrepancy theory*. J. Complexity **28** (2012), 18–36.
- [C80] W. W. L. Chen, *On irregularities of distribution*. Mathematika **27** (1981), 153–170.
- [CS02] W. W. L. Chen, M. M. Skrikanov, *Explicit constructions in the classical mean squares problem in irregularities of point distribution*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **545** (2002), 67–95.
- [CS08] W. W. L. Chen, M. M. Skrikanov, *Orthogonality and digit shifts in the classical mean squares problem in irregularities of point distribution*. In: Diophantine approximation, 141–159, Dev. Math., **16**, Springer, Vienna, 2008.

- [D56] H. Davenport, *Note on irregularities of distribution*. *Mathematika* **3** (1956), 131–135.
- [D07] J. Dick, *Explicit constructions of quasi-Monte Carlo rules for the numerical integration of high-dimensional periodic functions*. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **45** (2007), 2141–2176.
- [D14] J. Dick, *Discrepancy bounds for infinite-dimensional order two digital sequences over  $\mathbb{F}_2$* . *J. Number Theory* **136** (2014), 204–232.
- [DP10] J. Dick, F. Pillichshammer, *Digital nets and sequences. Discrepancy theory and quasi-Monte Carlo integration*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [DP14a] J. Dick, F. Pillichshammer, *Optimal  $\mathcal{L}_2$  discrepancy bounds for higher order digital sequences over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_2$* . *Acta Arith.* **162** (2014), 65–99.
- [DP14b] J. Dick, F. Pillichshammer, *Explicit constructions of point sets and sequences with low discrepancy*. To appear in P. Kritzer, H. Niederreiter, F. Pillichshammer, A. Winterhof, *Uniform distribution and quasi-Monte Carlo methods - Discrepancy, Integration and Applications* (2014).
- [FPPS10] H. Faure, F. Pillichshammer, G. Pirsic, W. Ch. Schmid,  *$L_2$  discrepancy of generalized two-dimensional Hammersley point sets scrambled with arbitrary permutations*. *Acta Arith.* **141** (2010), 395–418.
- [H81] G. Halász, *On Roth’s method in the theory of irregularities of point distributions*. *Recent progress in analytic number theory, Vol. 2*, 79–94. Academic Press, London-New York, 1981.
- [Hi10] A. Hinrichs, *Discrepancy of Hammersley points in Besov spaces of dominating mixed smoothness*. *Math. Nachr.* **283** (2010), 478–488.
- [Hi14] A. Hinrichs, *Discrepancy, Integration and Tractability*. In J. Dick, F. Y. Kuo, G. W. Peters, I. H. Sloan, *Monte Carlo and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods 2012* (2014).
- [HM11] A. Hinrichs, L. Markhasin, *On lower bounds for the  $L_2$ -discrepancy*. *J. Complexity* **27** (2011), 127–132.
- [KN74] L. Kuipers, H. Niederreiter, *Uniform distribution of sequences*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., New York, 1974.

- [M13a] L. Markhasin, *Discrepancy of generalized Hammersley type point sets in Besov spaces with dominating mixed smoothness*. Unif. Distrib. Theory **8** (2013), 135–164.
- [M13b] L. Markhasin, *Quasi-Monte Carlo methods for integration of functions with dominating mixed smoothness in arbitrary dimension*. J. Complexity **29** (2013), 370–388.
- [M13c] L. Markhasin, *Discrepancy and integration in function spaces with dominating mixed smoothness*. Dissertationes Math. **494** (2013), 1–81.
- [M99] J. Matoušek, *Geometric discrepancy. An illustrated guide*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [N87] H. Niederreiter, G. Piršic, *Duality for digital nets and its applications*. Acta Arith. **97** (2001), 173–182.
- [NP01] H. Niederreiter, *Point sets and sequences with small discrepancy*. Monatsh. Math. **104** (1987), 273–337.
- [NW10] E. Novak, H. Woźniakowski, *Tractability of multivariate problems. Volume II: Standard information for functionals*. European Mathematical Society Publishing House, Zürich, 2010.
- [R54] K. F. Roth, *On irregularities of distribution*. Mathematika **1** (1954), 73–79.
- [R80] K. F. Roth, *On irregularities of distribution. IV*. Acta Arith. **37** (1980), 67–75.
- [S72] W. M. Schmidt, *Irregularities of distribution. VII*. Acta Arith. **21** (1972), 45–50.
- [S77] W. M. Schmidt, *Irregularities of distribution X. Number Theory and Algebra*, 311–329. Academic Press, New York, 1977.
- [S06] M. M. Skrižganov, *Harmonic analysis on totally disconnected groups and irregularities of point distributions*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **600** (2006), 25–49.
- [T10] H. Triebel, *Bases in function spaces, sampling, discrepancy, numerical integration*. European Mathematical Society Publishing House, Zürich, 2010.



Lev Markhasin  
Universität Stuttgart  
Fachbereich Mathematik  
Pfaffenwaldring 57  
70569 Stuttgart  
Germany

**E-Mail:** [Lev.Markhasin@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de](mailto:Lev.Markhasin@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de)

**WWW:** [www.isa.uni-stuttgart.de/LstStoch/Markhasin](http://www.isa.uni-stuttgart.de/LstStoch/Markhasin)



## Erschienene Preprints ab Nummer 2007/2007-001

Komplette Liste: <http://www.mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de/preprints>

- 2014-005 *Schmid, J.; Griesemer, M.:* Integration of Non-Autonomous Linear Evolution Equations
- 2014-004 *Markhasin, L.:*  $L_2$ - and  $S_{p,q}^r B$ -discrepancy of (order 2) digital nets
- 2014-003 *Markhasin, L.:* Discrepancy and integration in function spaces with dominating mixed smoothness
- 2014-002 *Eberts, M.; Steinwart, I.:* Optimal Learning Rates for Localized SVMs
- 2014-001 *Giesselmann, J.:* A relative entropy approach to convergence of a low order approximation to a nonlinear elasticity model with viscosity and capillarity
- 2013-016 *Steinwart, I.:* Fully Adaptive Density-Based Clustering
- 2013-015 *Steinwart, I.:* Some Remarks on the Statistical Analysis of SVMs and Related Methods
- 2013-014 *Rohde, C.; Zeiler, C.:* A Relaxation Riemann Solver for Compressible Two-Phase Flow with Phase Transition and Surface Tension
- 2013-013 *Moroianu, A.; Semmelmann, U.:* Generalized Killing spinors on Einstein manifolds
- 2013-012 *Moroianu, A.; Semmelmann, U.:* Generalized Killing Spinors on Spheres
- 2013-011 *Kohls, K.; Rösch, A.; Siebert, K.G.:* Convergence of Adaptive Finite Elements for Control Constrained Optimal Control Problems
- 2013-010 *Corli, A.; Rohde, C.; Schleper, V.:* Parabolic Approximations of Diffusive-Dispersive Equations
- 2013-009 *Nava-Yazdani, E.; Polthier, K.:* De Casteljau's Algorithm on Manifolds
- 2013-008 *Bächle, A.; Margolis, L.:* Rational conjugacy of torsion units in integral group rings of non-solvable groups
- 2013-007 *Knarr, N.; Stroppel, M.J.:* Heisenberg groups over composition algebras
- 2013-006 *Knarr, N.; Stroppel, M.J.:* Heisenberg groups, semifields, and translation planes
- 2013-005 *Eck, C.; Kutter, M.; Sändig, A.-M.; Rohde, C.:* A Two Scale Model for Liquid Phase Epitaxy with Elasticity: An Iterative Procedure
- 2013-004 *Griesemer, M.; Wellig, D.:* The Strong-Coupling Polaron in Electromagnetic Fields
- 2013-003 *Kabil, B.; Rohde, C.:* The Influence of Surface Tension and Configurational Forces on the Stability of Liquid-Vapor Interfaces
- 2013-002 *Devroye, L.; Ferrario, P.G.; Györfi, L.; Walk, H.:* Strong universal consistent estimate of the minimum mean squared error
- 2013-001 *Kohls, K.; Rösch, A.; Siebert, K.G.:* A Posteriori Error Analysis of Optimal Control Problems with Control Constraints
- 2012-018 *Kimmerle, W.; Konovalov, A.:* On the Prime Graph of the Unit Group of Integral Group Rings of Finite Groups II
- 2012-017 *Stroppel, B.; Stroppel, M.:* Desargues, Doily, Dualities, and Exceptional Isomorphisms
- 2012-016 *Moroianu, A.; Pilca, M.; Semmelmann, U.:* Homogeneous almost quaternion-Hermitian manifolds
- 2012-015 *Steinke, G.F.; Stroppel, M.J.:* Simple groups acting two-transitively on the set of generators of a finite elation Laguerre plane
- 2012-014 *Steinke, G.F.; Stroppel, M.J.:* Finite elation Laguerre planes admitting a two-transitive group on their set of generators

- 2012-013 *Diaz Ramos, J.C.; Dominguez Vázquez, M.; Kollross, A.:* Polar actions on complex hyperbolic spaces
- 2012-012 *Moroianu, A.; Semmelmann, U.:* Weakly complex homogeneous spaces
- 2012-011 *Moroianu, A.; Semmelmann, U.:* Invariant four-forms and symmetric pairs
- 2012-010 *Hamilton, M.J.D.:* The closure of the symplectic cone of elliptic surfaces
- 2012-009 *Hamilton, M.J.D.:* Iterated fibre sums of algebraic Lefschetz fibrations
- 2012-008 *Hamilton, M.J.D.:* The minimal genus problem for elliptic surfaces
- 2012-007 *Ferrario, P.:* Partitioning estimation of local variance based on nearest neighbors under censoring
- 2012-006 *Stroppel, M.:* Buttons, Holes and Loops of String: Lacing the Doily
- 2012-005 *Hantsch, F.:* Existence of Minimizers in Restricted Hartree-Fock Theory
- 2012-004 *Grundhöfer, T.; Stroppel, M.; Van Maldeghem, H.:* Unitals admitting all translations
- 2012-003 *Hamilton, M.J.D.:* Representing homology classes by symplectic surfaces
- 2012-002 *Hamilton, M.J.D.:* On certain exotic 4-manifolds of Akhmedov and Park
- 2012-001 *Jentsch, T.:* Parallel submanifolds of the real 2-Grassmannian
- 2011-028 *Spreer, J.:* Combinatorial 3-manifolds with cyclic automorphism group
- 2011-027 *Griesemer, M.; Hantsch, F.; Wellig, D.:* On the Magnetic Pekar Functional and the Existence of Bipolarons
- 2011-026 *Müller, S.:* Bootstrapping for Bandwidth Selection in Functional Data Regression
- 2011-025 *Felber, T.; Jones, D.; Kohler, M.; Walk, H.:* Weakly universally consistent static forecasting of stationary and ergodic time series via local averaging and least squares estimates
- 2011-024 *Jones, D.; Kohler, M.; Walk, H.:* Weakly universally consistent forecasting of stationary and ergodic time series
- 2011-023 *Györfi, L.; Walk, H.:* Strongly consistent nonparametric tests of conditional independence
- 2011-022 *Ferrario, P.G.; Walk, H.:* Nonparametric partitioning estimation of residual and local variance based on first and second nearest neighbors
- 2011-021 *Eberts, M.; Steinwart, I.:* Optimal regression rates for SVMs using Gaussian kernels
- 2011-020 *Frank, R.L.; Geisinger, L.:* Refined Semiclassical Asymptotics for Fractional Powers of the Laplace Operator
- 2011-019 *Frank, R.L.; Geisinger, L.:* Two-term spectral asymptotics for the Dirichlet Laplacian on a bounded domain
- 2011-018 *Hänel, A.; Schulz, C.; Wirth, J.:* Embedded eigenvalues for the elastic strip with cracks
- 2011-017 *Wirth, J.:* Thermo-elasticity for anisotropic media in higher dimensions
- 2011-016 *Höllig, K.; Hörner, J.:* Programming Multigrid Methods with B-Splines
- 2011-015 *Ferrario, P.:* Nonparametric Local Averaging Estimation of the Local Variance Function
- 2011-014 *Müller, S.; Dippon, J.:* k-NN Kernel Estimate for Nonparametric Functional Regression in Time Series Analysis
- 2011-013 *Knarr, N.; Stroppel, M.:* Unitals over composition algebras
- 2011-012 *Knarr, N.; Stroppel, M.:* Baer involutions and polarities in Moufang planes of characteristic two

- 2011-011 *Knarr, N.; Stroppel, M.:* Polarities and planar collineations of Moufang planes
- 2011-010 *Jentsch, T.; Moroianu, A.; Semmelmann, U.:* Extrinsic hyperspheres in manifolds with special holonomy
- 2011-009 *Wirth, J.:* Asymptotic Behaviour of Solutions to Hyperbolic Partial Differential Equations
- 2011-008 *Stroppel, M.:* Orthogonal polar spaces and unitals
- 2011-007 *Nagl, M.:* Charakterisierung der Symmetrischen Gruppen durch ihre komplexe Gruppenalgebra
- 2011-006 *Solanes, G.; Teufel, E.:* Horo-tightness and total (absolute) curvatures in hyperbolic spaces
- 2011-005 *Ginoux, N.; Semmelmann, U.:* Imaginary Kählerian Killing spinors I
- 2011-004 *Scherer, C.W.; Köse, I.E.:* Control Synthesis using Dynamic  $D$ -Scales: Part II — Gain-Scheduled Control
- 2011-003 *Scherer, C.W.; Köse, I.E.:* Control Synthesis using Dynamic  $D$ -Scales: Part I — Robust Control
- 2011-002 *Alexandrov, B.; Semmelmann, U.:* Deformations of nearly parallel  $G_2$ -structures
- 2011-001 *Geisinger, L.; Weidl, T.:* Sharp spectral estimates in domains of infinite volume
- 2010-018 *Kimmerle, W.; Konovalov, A.:* On integral-like units of modular group rings
- 2010-017 *Gauduchon, P.; Moroianu, A.; Semmelmann, U.:* Almost complex structures on quaternion-Kähler manifolds and inner symmetric spaces
- 2010-016 *Moroianu, A.; Semmelmann, U.:* Clifford structures on Riemannian manifolds
- 2010-015 *Grafarend, E.W.; Kühnel, W.:* A minimal atlas for the rotation group  $SO(3)$
- 2010-014 *Weidl, T.:* Semiclassical Spectral Bounds and Beyond
- 2010-013 *Stroppel, M.:* Early explicit examples of non-desarguesian plane geometries
- 2010-012 *Effenberger, F.:* Stacked polytopes and tight triangulations of manifolds
- 2010-011 *Györfi, L.; Walk, H.:* Empirical portfolio selection strategies with proportional transaction costs
- 2010-010 *Kohler, M.; Krzyżak, A.; Walk, H.:* Estimation of the essential supremum of a regression function
- 2010-009 *Geisinger, L.; Laptev, A.; Weidl, T.:* Geometrical Versions of improved Berezin-Li-Yau Inequalities
- 2010-008 *Poppitz, S.; Stroppel, M.:* Polarities of Schellhammer Planes
- 2010-007 *Grundhöfer, T.; Krinn, B.; Stroppel, M.:* Non-existence of isomorphisms between certain unitals
- 2010-006 *Höllig, K.; Hörner, J.; Hoffacker, A.:* Finite Element Analysis with B-Splines: Weighted and Isogeometric Methods
- 2010-005 *Kaltenbacher, B.; Walk, H.:* On convergence of local averaging regression function estimates for the regularization of inverse problems
- 2010-004 *Kühnel, W.; Solanes, G.:* Tight surfaces with boundary
- 2010-003 *Kohler, M.; Walk, H.:* On optimal exercising of American options in discrete time for stationary and ergodic data
- 2010-002 *Gulde, M.; Stroppel, M.:* Stabilizers of Subspaces under Similitudes of the Klein Quadric, and Automorphisms of Heisenberg Algebras
- 2010-001 *Leitner, F.:* Examples of almost Einstein structures on products and in cohomogeneity one

- 2009-008 *Griesemer, M.; Zenk, H.:* On the atomic photoeffect in non-relativistic QED
- 2009-007 *Griesemer, M.; Moeller, J.S.:* Bounds on the minimal energy of translation invariant n-polaron systems
- 2009-006 *Demirel, S.; Harrell II, E.M.:* On semiclassical and universal inequalities for eigenvalues of quantum graphs
- 2009-005 *Bächle, A, Kimmerle, W.:* Torsion subgroups in integral group rings of finite groups
- 2009-004 *Geisinger, L.; Weidl, T.:* Universal bounds for traces of the Dirichlet Laplace operator
- 2009-003 *Walk, H.:* Strong laws of large numbers and nonparametric estimation
- 2009-002 *Leitner, F.:* The collapsing sphere product of Poincaré-Einstein spaces
- 2009-001 *Brehm, U.; Kühnel, W.:* Lattice triangulations of  $E^3$  and of the 3-torus
- 2008-006 *Kohler, M.; Krzyżak, A.; Walk, H.:* Upper bounds for Bermudan options on Markovian data using nonparametric regression and a reduced number of nested Monte Carlo steps
- 2008-005 *Kaltenbacher, B.; Schöpfer, F.; Schuster, T.:* Iterative methods for nonlinear ill-posed problems in Banach spaces: convergence and applications to parameter identification problems
- 2008-004 *Leitner, F.:* Conformally closed Poincaré-Einstein metrics with intersecting scale singularities
- 2008-003 *Effenberger, F.; Kühnel, W.:* Hamiltonian submanifolds of regular polytope
- 2008-002 *Hertweck, M.; Höfert, C.R.; Kimmerle, W.:* Finite groups of units and their composition factors in the integral group rings of the groups  $PSL(2, q)$
- 2008-001 *Kovarik, H.; Vugalter, S.; Weidl, T.:* Two dimensional Berezin-Li-Yau inequalities with a correction term
- 2007-006 *Weidl, T.:* Improved Berezin-Li-Yau inequalities with a remainder term
- 2007-005 *Frank, R.L.; Loss, M.; Weidl, T.:* Polya's conjecture in the presence of a constant magnetic field
- 2007-004 *Ekholm, T.; Frank, R.L.; Kovarik, H.:* Eigenvalue estimates for Schrödinger operators on metric trees
- 2007-003 *Lesky, P.H.; Racke, R.:* Elastic and electro-magnetic waves in infinite waveguides
- 2007-002 *Teufel, E.:* Spherical transforms and Radon transforms in Moebius geometry
- 2007-001 *Meister, A.:* Deconvolution from Fourier-oscillating error densities under decay and smoothness restrictions