Universität Stuttgart

Fachbereich Mathematik

Nonparametric quantile estimation using importance sampling

Michael Kohler, Adam Krzyżak, Reinhard Tent, Harro Walk

Preprint 2014/013

Fachbereich Mathematik Fakultät Mathematik und Physik Universität Stuttgart Pfaffenwaldring 57 D-70 569 Stuttgart

E-Mail: preprints@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de
WWW: http://www.mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de/preprints

ISSN 1613-8309

C Alle Rechte vorbehalten. Nachdruck nur mit Genehmigung des Autors. LaTEX-Style: Winfried Geis, Thomas Merkle

Nonparametric quantile estimation using importance sampling

Michael Kohler¹, Adam Krzyżak^{2,*}, Reinhard Tent¹ and Harro Walk³

¹ Fachbereich Mathematik, Technische Universität Darmstadt, Schlossgartenstr. 7, 64289 Darmstadt, Germany, email: kohler@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de, tent@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de

² Department of Computer Science and Software Engineering, Concordia University, 1455 De

Maisonneuve Blvd. West, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3G 1M8, email: krzyzak@cs.concordia.ca

³ Fachbereich Mathematik, Universität Stuttgart, Pfaffenwaldring 57, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany, email: walk@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de.

March 31, 2014

Abstract

Nonparametric estimation of a quantile of a random variable m(X) is considered, where $m : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function which is costly to compute and X is a \mathbb{R}^d -valued random variable with given density. An importance sampling quantile estimate of m(X), which is based on a suitable estimate m_n of m, is defined, and it is shown that this estimate achieves a rate of convergence of order $\log^{1.5}(n)/n$. The finite sample size behavior of the estimate is illustrated by simulated data.

AMS classification: Primary 62G05; secondary 62G30.

Key words and phrases: Nonparametric quantile estimation, importance sampling, rate of convergence.

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider a simulation model of a complex technical system described by

$$Y = m(X),$$

where X is a \mathbb{R}^d -valued random variable with density $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ and $m : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is a known function which is expensive to evaluate. Let

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel: +1-514-848-2424 ext. 3007, Fax: +1-514-848-2830

Running title: Nonparametric extreme quantile estimation

$$G(y) = \mathbf{P}\{Y \le y\} = \mathbf{P}\{m(X) \le y\}$$

be the cumulative distribution function (cdf) of Y. For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ we are interested in estimating quantiles of the form

$$q_{\alpha} = \inf\{y \in \mathbb{R} : G(y) \ge \alpha\}$$

using at most n evaluations of the function m. Here we assume that the density f of X is known.

A simple idea is to estimate q_{α} using an i.i.d. sample X_1, \ldots, X_n of X and to compute the empirical cdf

$$G_{m(X),n}(y) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{\{m(X_i) \le y\}}$$
(1)

and to use the corresponding plug-in estimate

$$\overline{q}_{\alpha,n} = \inf\{y \in \mathbb{R} : G_{m(X),n}(y) \ge \alpha\}.$$
(2)

Set $Y_i = m(X_i)$ (i = 1, ..., n) and let $Y_{1:n}, ..., Y_{n:n}$ be the order statistics of $Y_1, ..., Y_n$, i.e., $Y_{1:n}, ..., Y_{n:n}$ is a permutation of $Y_1, ..., Y_n$ such that

$$Y_{1:n} \leq \ldots \leq Y_{n:n}.$$

Since

$$\overline{q}_{\alpha,n} = Y_{\lceil n\alpha \rceil:n}$$

is in fact an order statistic, the properties of this estimate can be studied using the results from order statistics. In particular Theorem 8.5.1 in Arnold, Balakrishnan and Nagaraja (1992) implies that in case that m(X) has a density g which is continuous and positive at q_{α} we have

$$\sqrt{n} \cdot g(q_{\alpha}) \cdot \frac{Y_{\lceil n\alpha \rceil:n} - q_{\alpha}}{\sqrt{\alpha \cdot (1-\alpha)}} \to N(0,1)$$
 in distribution.

This implies

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{\left|\bar{q}_{\alpha,n}-q_{\alpha}\right| > \frac{c_{n}}{\sqrt{n}}\right\} \to 0 \quad (n \to \infty)$$
(3)

whenever $c_n \to \infty \ (n \to \infty)$.

In this paper we apply importance sampling (IS) to obtain a better estimate of q_{α} . Importance sampling is a technique to improve estimation of the expectation of a function $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ by sample averages. Instead of using an independent and identically distributed sequence X, X_1, X_2, \ldots and estimating $\mathbf{E}\phi(X)$ by

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\phi(X_i),$$

one can use importance sampling, where a new random variable Z with a density h satisfying for all $x\in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\phi(x) \cdot f(x) \neq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad h(x) \neq 0$$

is chosen and for Z, Z_1, Z_2, \ldots independent and identically distributed

$$\mathbf{E}\{\phi(X)\} = \mathbf{E}\left\{\phi(Z) \cdot \frac{f(Z)}{h(Z)}\right\}$$
$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi(Z_i) \cdot \frac{f(Z_i)}{h(Z_i)},\tag{4}$$

is estimated by

whereas we assume that
$$\frac{0}{0} = 0$$
. Here the aim is to choose h such that the variance of (4) is small (see for instance Chapter 4.6 in Glasserman (2004), Nedermayer (2009) and the literature cited therein).

Quantile estimation using importance sampling has been considered by Cannamela, Garnier and Iooss (2008), Egloff and Leippold (2010) and Morio (2012). All three papers proposed new estimates in various models, however only Egloff and Leippold (2010) investigated theoretical properties (consistency) of their method. None of the papers contain any results on the rates of convergence.

In this paper we propose a new importance sampling quantile estimate and analyze its rates of convergence. The basic idea is to use an initial estimate of the quantile based on the order statistics of samples of m(X) in order to determine an interval $[a_n, b_n]$ containing the quantile. Then we construct an estimate m_n of m and restrict f to the inverse image $m_n^{-1}([a_n, b_n])$ of $[a_n, b_n]$ to construct a new random variable Z. Our final estimate of the quantile is then defined as an order statistic of m(Z). Under suitable assumptions on the smoothness of m and on the tails of fwe are able to show that this estimate achieves the rate of convergence of order $\frac{\log^{1.5} n}{n}$.

Throughout this paper we use the following notations: \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{N}_0 , \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{R} are the sets of positive integers, nonnegative integers, integers and real numbers, respectively. For a real number z we denote by $\lfloor z \rfloor$ and $\lceil z \rceil$ the largest integer less than or equal to z and the smallest integer larger than or equal to z, respectively. ||x|| is the Euclidean norm of $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. For $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ and $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ we set

$$||f||_{\infty,A} = \sup_{x \in A} |f(x)|.$$

Let p = k + s for some $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $0 < s \leq 1$, and let C > 0. A function $m : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is called (p, C)-smooth, if for every $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ with $\sum_{j=1}^d \alpha_j = k$ the partial derivative $\frac{\partial^k m}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_d^{\alpha_d}}$ exists and satisfies

$$\left|\frac{\partial^k m}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_d^{\alpha_d}}(x) - \frac{\partial^k m}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_d^{\alpha_d}}(z)\right| \le C \cdot \|x - z\|^s$$

for all $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

For nonnegative random variables X_n and Y_n we say that $X_n = O_{\mathbf{P}}(Y_n)$ if

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{P}(X_n > c_1 \cdot Y_n) = 0$$

for some finite constant $c_1 > 0$.

The estimate of the quantile is defined in Section 2. The main result is formulated in Section 3 and proofs are provided in Section 5. In Section 4 we illustrate the finite sample size performance of the estimate using simulated data.

2 Definition of the estimate

Let $n = n_1 + n_2 + n_3$ where $n_1 = n_1(n) = \lfloor n/3 \rfloor = n_2 = n_2(n)$ and $n_3 = n_3(n) = n - n_1 - n_2$. We will use n_1 evaluations of m in order to generate an initial estimate of q_{α} , n_2 evaluations of m to construct an approximation of m, and we will use n_3 further evaluations of m to improve our initial estimate of q_{α} .

Let $\overline{q}_{\alpha,n_1}$ be the quantile estimate based on order statistics introduced in Section 1. In order to improve it by importance sampling, we will use additional observations $(x_1, m(x_1)), \ldots, (x_{n_2}, m(x_{n_2}))$ of m at points $x_1, \ldots, x_{n_2} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and use an estimate

$$m_n(\cdot) = m_n(\cdot, (x_1, m(x_1)), \dots, (x_{n_2}, m(x_{n_2}))) : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$$

of $m : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$. Both will be specified later. Let $K_n = [-l_n, l_n]^d$ for some $l_n > 0$ such that $l_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ and assume that the supremum norm error of m_n on K_n is bounded by $\beta_n > 0$, i.e.,

$$||m_n - m||_{\infty, K_n} := \sup_{x \in K_n} |m_n(x) - m(x)| \le \beta_n.$$
(5)

Set

$$a_n = \overline{q}_{\alpha,n_1} - 2 \cdot \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}} - 2 \cdot \beta_n$$
 and $b_n = \overline{q}_{\alpha,n_1} + 2 \cdot \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}} + \beta_n$,

where both quantities depend (via $\overline{q}_{\alpha,n_1}$) on the data

$$\mathcal{D}_{n_1} = \{(X_1, m(X_1)), \dots, (X_{n_1}, m(X_{n_1}))\}.$$

We then replace X by a random variable Z which has the density

$$h(x) = c_2 \cdot \left(I_{\{x \in K_n : a_n \le m_n(x) \le b_n\}} + I_{\{x \notin K_n\}} \right) \cdot f(x)$$

where

$$c_2 = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(I_{\{x \in K_n : a_n \le m_n(x) \le b_n\}} + I_{\{x \notin K_n\}} \right) f(x) dx \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{1 - \gamma_1 - \gamma_2}.$$

Here

$$\gamma_1 = \mathbf{P}\{X \in K_n, m_n(X) < a_n | \mathcal{D}_{n_1}\} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{1}_{K_n}(x) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{x : m_n(x) < a_n\}} \cdot f(x) dx$$

and

$$\gamma_2 = \mathbf{P}\{X \in K_n, m_n(X) > b_n | \mathcal{D}_{n_1}\} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{1}_{K_n}(x) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{x : m_n(x) > b_n\}} \cdot f(x) dx$$

can be computed exactly for given f and m_n . In our application below we approximate them by the suitable Riemann sums. Observe that a_n and b_n depend on \mathcal{D}_{n_1} and therefore the density hand the distribution of Z are random quantities. Furthermore on the event

$$\left\{ \left| \overline{q}_{\alpha,n_1} - q_\alpha \right| \le \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}} \right\}$$

we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(I_{\{x \in K_n : a_n \le m_n(x) \le b_n\}} + I_{\{x \notin K_n\}} \right) f(x) dx \ge \mathbf{P} \left\{ q_\alpha - \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}} \le m(X) \le q_\alpha + \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}} \right\} > 0, \quad (6)$$

provided, e.g., the density of m(X) is positive and continuous at q_{α} . Hence outside of an event whose probability tends to zero for $n \to \infty$ the constant c_2 and the density h are in this case well defined. The main trick in the sequel is that we can relate the quantile q_{α} to a quantile of m(Z)as shown in Lemma 1 below.

Lemma 1 Assume that (5) holds, m(X) has a density which is continuous and positive at q_{α} and let Z be a random variable defined as above. Furthermore set

$$\bar{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha - \gamma_1}{1 - \gamma_1 - \gamma_2}$$

and

$$q_{m(Z),\bar{\alpha}} = \inf\{y \in \mathbb{R} : \mathbf{P}\{m(Z) \le y | \mathcal{D}_{n_1}\} \ge \bar{\alpha}\}$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{n_1} = \{(X_1, m(X_1)), \dots, (X_{n_1}, m(X_{n_1}))\}$. Then we have with probability tending to one for $n \to \infty$ that

$$q_{\alpha} = q_{m(Z),\bar{\alpha}}.$$

Let Z, Z_1, Z_2, \ldots be independent and identically distributed and set

$$G_{m(Z),n_3}(y) = \frac{1}{n_3} \sum_{i=1}^{n_3} I_{\{m(Z_i) \le y\}}.$$

We estimate $q_{\alpha} = q_{m(Z),\bar{\alpha}}$ (which is outside of an event whose probability tends to zero for $n \to \infty$ according to Lemma 1 equal to $q_{m(Z),\bar{\alpha}}$) by

$$\bar{q}_{m(Z),\bar{\alpha},n_3} = \inf \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R} : G_{m(Z),n_3}(y) \ge \bar{\alpha} \right\}$$

$$= \inf \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R} : G_{m(Z),n_3}(y) \ge \frac{\alpha - \gamma_1}{1 - \gamma_1 - \gamma_2} \right\}$$

As before we have that $\bar{q}_{m(Z),\bar{\alpha},n_3}$ is an order statistic of $m(Z_1), \ldots, m(Z_{n_3})$:

$$\bar{q}_{m(Z),\bar{\alpha},n_3} = m(Z)_{\lceil \bar{\alpha} \cdot n_3 \rceil:n_3}.$$

One possible choice for an estimate m_n of m is a spline approximation of m, which we introduce next. We will use well-known results from spline theory to show that if we choose the design points z_1, \ldots, z_n equidistantly in $K_n = [-l_n, l_n]^d$, then a properly defined spline approximation of a (p, C)-smooth function achieves the rate of convergence $l_n^p/n^{p/d}$.

In order to define the spline approximation, we introduce polynomial splines, i.e., sets of piecewise polynomials satisfying a global smoothness condition, and a corresponding B-spline basis consisting of basis functions with compact support as follows:

Choose $K \in \mathbb{N}$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and set $u_k = k \cdot l_n/K$ $(k \in \mathbb{Z})$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $B_{k,M} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be the univariate B-spline of degree M with knot sequence $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and support $supp(B_{k,M}) = [u_k, u_{k+M+1}]$. In case M = 0 B-spline $B_{k,0}$ is the indicator function of the interval $[u_k, u_{k+1})$, and for M = 1 we have

$$B_{k,1}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x - u_k}{u_{k+1} - u_k} & , u_k \le x \le u_{k+1}, \\ \frac{u_{k+2} - x}{u_{k+2} - u_{k+1}} & , u_{k+1} < x \le u_{k+2}, \\ 0 & , \text{elsewhere}, \end{cases}$$

(so-called hat-function). The general recursive definition of $B_{k,M}$ can be found, e.g., in de Boor (1978), or in Section 14.1 of Györfi et al. (2002). These B-splines are basis functions of sets of univariate piecewise polynomials of degree M, where the piecewise polynomials are globally (M-1)-times continuously differentiable and where the M-th derivatives of the functions have jump points only at the knots u_l $(l \in \mathbb{Z})$.

For $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ we define the tensor product B-spline $B_{\mathbf{k},M} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$B_{\mathbf{k},M}(x^{(1)},\ldots,x^{(d)}) = B_{k_1,M}(x^{(1)})\cdot\ldots\cdot B_{k_d,M}(x^{(d)}) \quad (x^{(1)},\ldots,x^{(d)}\in\mathbb{R}).$$

With these functions we define $S_{K,M}$ as the set of all linear combinations of all those tensor product B-splines above, whose support has nonempty intersection with $K_n = [-l_n, l_n]^d$, i.e., we set

$$\mathcal{S}_{K,M} = \left\{ \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \{-K-M, -K-M+1, \dots, K-1\}^d} a_{\mathbf{k}} \cdot B_{\mathbf{k},M} : a_{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

It can be shown by using standard arguments from spline theory, that the functions in $S_{K,M}$ are in each component (M - 1)-times continuously differentiable and that they are equal to a (multivariate) polynomial of degree less than or equal to M (in each component) on each rectangle

$$[u_{k_1}, u_{k_1+1}) \times \dots \times [u_{k_d}, u_{k_d+1}) \quad (\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d),$$
(7)

and that they vanish outside the set

$$\left[-l_n - M \cdot \frac{l_n}{K}, l_n + M \cdot \frac{l_n}{K}\right]^d.$$

Next we define spline approximations using so-called quasi interpolants: For a continuous function $m : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ we define an approximating spline by

$$(Qm)(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \{-K-M, -K-M+1, \dots, K-1\}^d} Q_{\mathbf{k}} m \cdot B_{\mathbf{k}, M}$$

where

$$Q_{\mathbf{k}}m = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \{0,1,\dots,M\}^d} a_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{j}} \cdot m(t_{k_1,j_1},\dots,t_{k_d,j_d})$$

for some $a_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{j}} \in \mathbb{R}$ and some suitably chosen points $t_{k,j} \in supp(B_{k,M}) = [k \cdot l_n/K, (k+M+1) \cdot l_n/K]$. It can be shown that if we set

$$t_{k,j} = k \cdot \frac{l_n}{K} + \frac{j}{M} \cdot \frac{l_n}{K} \quad (j \in \{0, \dots, M\}, k \in \{-K, -K - M + 1, \dots, K - 1\})$$

and

$$t_{k,j} = -l_n + \frac{j}{M} \cdot \frac{l_n}{K} \quad (j \in \{0, \dots, M\}, k \in \{-K - M, -K - M + 1, \dots, -K - 1\}),$$

then there exist coefficients $a_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{j}}$ (which can be computed by solving a linear equation system), such that

$$|Q_{\mathbf{k}}f| \le c_3 \cdot ||f||_{\infty, [u_{k_1}, u_{k_1+M+1}] \times \dots \times [u_{k_d}, u_{k_d+M+1}]}$$
(8)

for any $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, any continuous $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ and some universal constant c_1 , and such that Q reproduces polynomials of degree M or less (in each component) on $K_n = [-l_n, l_n]^d$, i.e., for any multivariate polynomial $p : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ of degree M or less in each component we have

$$(Qp)(x) = p(x) \quad (x \in K_n) \tag{9}$$

(cf., e.g., Theorem 14.4 and Theorem 15.2 in Györfi et al. (2002)).

Next we define our estimate m_n as a quasi interpolant. We fix the degree $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and set

$$K = \left\lfloor \frac{\lfloor n_2^{1/d} \rfloor - 1}{2M} \right\rfloor,$$

where we assume that $n_2 \ge (2M+1)^d$. Furthermore we choose x_1, \ldots, x_{n_2} such that all of the $(2M \cdot K + 1)^d$ points of the form

$$\left(\frac{j_1}{M \cdot K} \cdot l_n, \dots, \frac{j_d}{M \cdot K} \cdot l_n\right) \quad (j_1, \dots, j_d \in \{-M \cdot K, -M \cdot K + 1, \dots, M \cdot K\})$$

are contained in $\{x_1, \ldots, x_{n_2}\}$, which is possible since $(2M \cdot K + 1)^d \leq n_2$. Then we define

$$m_n(x) = (Qm)(x),$$

where Qm is the above defined quasi interpolant satisfying (8) and (9). The computation of Qm requires only function values of m at the points x_1, \ldots, x_{n_2} and hence m_n is well defined.

It follows from spline theory (cf., e.g., proof of Theorem 1 in Kohler (2013)) that if m is (p, C)smooth for some $0 then the above quasi interpolant <math>m_n$ satisfies for some constant $c_4 > 0$

$$||m_n - m||_{\infty, K_n} := \sup_{x \in K_n} |m_n(x) - m(x)| \le c_4 \cdot \frac{l_n^p}{n_2^{p/d}},\tag{10}$$

i.e., (5) is satisfied with $\beta_n = c_4 \cdot l_n^p / n_2^{p/d}$.

3 Main results

First we show the rate of convergence result for the quantile estimate using a general estimate of m.

Theorem 1 Assume that X is a \mathbb{R}^d -valued random variable which has a density with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Let $m : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function. Assume that m(X) has a density g with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and let q_α be the α -quantile of m(X). Assume that the density g of m(X) is positive at q_α and continuous on \mathbb{R} .

Let the estimate $\bar{q}_{Z,\bar{\alpha},n}$ of q_{α} be defined as in Section 2 with $\beta_n = \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}$ and assume that regression estimate m_n satisfies (5). Furthermore assume that

$$\mathbf{P}\{X \notin K_n\} = O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log(n)}}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \tag{11}$$

Then

$$\left|\bar{q}_{m(Z),\bar{\alpha},n_{3}}-q_{\alpha}\right|=O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(\frac{\log^{1.5}(n)}{n}\right).$$

When the spline estimate from Section 2 is used to estimate m, then we get the following result.

Corollary 1 Assume that X is a \mathbb{R}^d -valued random variable which has a density with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Let $m : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a (p, C)-smooth function for some p > d/2. Assume that m(X) has a density g with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and let q_α be the α -quantile of m(X). Assume that the density g of m(X) is positive at q_α and continuous on \mathbb{R} .

Let m_n be the spline estimate from Section 2 with $M \ge p-1$ and define the estimate $\bar{q}_{Z,\bar{\alpha},n}$ of q_{α} as in Section 2 with $\beta_n = \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}$ and $l_n = \log n$. Furthermore assume that

$$\mathbf{P}\{||X|| \ge \log n\} = O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log(n)}}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$
(12)

Then

$$\bar{q}_{m(Z),\bar{\alpha},n_3} - q_{\alpha} = O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(\frac{\log^{1.5}(n)}{n}\right).$$

Proof. The assertion follows directly from Theorem 1 and inequality (10) observing that p > d/2 implies

$$c_4 \cdot \left(\frac{l_n^p}{n_2^{p/d}}\right) \le \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}$$

for n sufficiently large.

Remark 1. It follows from Markov inequality that (12) is satisfied whenever

$$\mathbf{E}\left\{\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\cdot\|X\|\right)\right\}<\infty.$$

If (12) does not hold it is possible to change the definition of l_n in Corollary 1 to get an (maybe modified) assertion under a weaker tail condition.

Remark 2. It is possible to improve the factor $\log^{1.5}(n)$ in Corollary 1, provided one changes the definition of a_n and b_n . More precisely, let $(\gamma_n)_n$ be a monotonically increasing sequence of positive real values which tends to infinity and assume

$$\mathbf{P}\{||X|| \ge \log n\} = O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\gamma_n}}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

Set

$$a_n = \overline{q}_{\alpha,n_1} - \frac{\sqrt{\gamma_n}}{\sqrt{n}}$$
 and $b_n = \overline{q}_{\alpha,n_1} + \frac{\sqrt{\gamma_n}}{\sqrt{n}}$.

By applying (3) in the proof of Theorem 1 it is possible to show that under the assumptions of Corollary 1 the estimate based on the above modified values of a_n and b_n satisfies

$$|\bar{q}_{m(Z),\bar{\alpha},n} - q_{\alpha}| = O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(\frac{\gamma_n}{n}\right).$$

4 Application to simulated data

In this section we apply the method described above to simulated data and estimate the corresponding 90%-quantile and 95%-quantile. For this purpose the number n of observations will be set to 200, 500, 1000 and 2000, respectively. As suggested in Section 2 we choose $n_1 = n_1(n) =$ $\lfloor n/3 \rfloor = n_2 = n_2(n)$ and $n_3 = n_3(n) = n - n_1 - n_2$. The value of β_n will be set to $\frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{n}}$, and our estimate of m is the quasi interpolant introduced in Section 2 with M = 3, $l_n = \log(n)$ and $K = K(n) = \left| \left(\lfloor n_2^{1/d} \rfloor - 1 \right) / 2M \right|$. We compare our estimate to the plug-in estimates corresponding to the empirical cdf of the observed data, i.e., to $\bar{q}_{0.9,n}$ and $\bar{q}_{0.95,n}$ (cf., (1) and (2)). In practice it might occur that the value of $\bar{\alpha}$, as defined in Lemma 1, is not in (0,1). This is due to the fact that $\bar{\alpha}$ depends on an estimate of the quantile q_{α} , based on the first |n/3| samples. Now if the difference between this first estimate and the true quantile is quite large, the true quantile may lay outside of the set the random variable Z, as defined in Section 2, is concentrated on. There are several ways to tackle this problem. In the following we pursue two possible strategies. The first strategy is to alter the value of a_n or b_n , so that the true quantile will lay inside this modified set. For this notice that by definition $\bar{\alpha}$ is negative if γ_1 is larger than α and we have $\bar{\alpha} > 1$ if γ_2 is larger than $1 - \alpha$. Now in order to decrease γ_1 the value of a_n has to be decreased and if we want to decrease γ_2 the value of b_n has to be increased. So the first strategy 1 is to decrease a_n by $\log(n)/\sqrt{n}$ if $\bar{\alpha} \leq 0$ and to increase b_n by $\log(n)/\sqrt{n}$ if $\bar{\alpha} \geq 1$. This will lead to an altered version of our random variable Z and we will have to recompute $\bar{\alpha}$. We repeat this procedure until $\bar{\alpha} \in (0,1).$

	90%-quantile $q_{0.9} \approx 3.6022$				95%-quantile $q_{0.95} \approx 5.1803$			
size of n	200	500	1000	2000	200	500	1000	2000
average value with IS start1	3.604	3.604	3.600	3.600	5.170	5.185	5.176	5.180
average value with IS strat 2	3.625	3.614	3.597	3.599	5.145	5.157	5.187	5.163
average sq. error with IS strat 1	0.026	0.005	0.002	0.0004	0.026	0.004	0.001	0.0005
average sq. error with IS strat 2	0.082	0.013	0.002	0.0005	0.221	0.062	0.024	0.014
average sq. error without IS	0.130	0.073	0.037	0.021	0.575	0.240	0.125	0.066

Table 1: Simulation results for $m(x) = \exp(x)$

Since the computation of $\bar{\alpha}$ is the most costly part of our method one might want to avoid the recomputation of $\bar{\alpha}$ as suggested by strategy one. So in the second approach we just generate a new sample of random values drawn from the distribution of Z as defined originally and use a somewhat more loose definition of the *quantile*. More precisely if we have $\bar{\alpha} \leq 0$ we will just take the smallest value of our new sample and if we have $\bar{\alpha} > 1$ we will take the largest one.

In our first example X is standard normally distributed and the function $m : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $m(x) = \exp(x)$. In this case m(X) is log-normally distributed. We generate a set of simulated data to which we apply our estimate with a quasi interpolant of degree M = 3. In order to compute γ_1 and γ_2 we use the routine *integrate()* from the basic library of the statistics package R. This procedure is repeated 100 times for the different values of n. The averages of our 100 estimated values of the quantiles can be found in Table 1. In addition we compute the average squared error of our estimated quantile values and the true quantile. Finally we use the above mentioned plug-in estimate to compute a reference value for our average squared error.

In our second example we set $X = (X_1, X_2)$, where random variables X_1 and X_2 independent standard normally distributed and choose $m(x_1, x_2) = 2 \cdot x_1 + x_2 + 2$. In this case m(X) is normal with expectation 2 and variance $2^2 + 1^2 = 5$. As before we generate a set of simulated data on which we apply our estimate. Unlike in our first example, we now use the procedure *adaptIntegrate()* from the library *cubature* in the statistics package R to compute γ_1 and γ_2 , since the routine *integrate()* is not applicable to multidimensional domains. As before we repeat this procedure 100 times for the different values of n and compare the results with those of the plug-in estimate described at the beginning of this section. The results can be found in Table 2.

In our third example we set $X = (X_1, X_2)$ for independent standard normally distributed random variables X_1 and X_2 and choose $m(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2$. Consequently m(X) is chi-square

	90%-quantile $q_{0.9} \approx 4.8656$				95%-quantile $q_{0.95} \approx 5.678$				
size of n	200	500	1000	2000	200	500	1000	2000	
average value with IS strat 1	4.691	4.855	4.869	4.865	5.409	5.593	5.635	5.690	
average value with IS strat 2	4.691	4.855	4.869	4.865	5.410	5.597	5.634	5.690	
average sq. error with IS strat 1	0.070	0.005	0.002	0.0005	0.117	0.023	0.005	0.0008	
average sq. error with IS strat 2	0.070	0.005	0.002	0.0005	0.115	0.024	0.006	0.0008	
average sq. error without IS	0.093	0.029	0.012	0.005	0.124	0.038	0.021	0.008	

Table 2: Simulation results for m(x, y) = 2x + y + 2

.

.

	90%-quantile $q_{0.9} \approx 4.6052$				$95\% ext{-quantile} \ q_{0.95} pprox 5.9915$			
size of n	200	500	1000	2000	200	500	1000	2000
average value with IS strat 1	4.112	4.207	4.601	4.604	5.393	5.432	5.604	5.990
average value with IS strat 2	4.115	4.208	4.600	4.604	5.427	5.459	5.625	5.987
average sq. error with IS strat 1	0.329	0.206	0.001	0.0005	0.549	0.408	0.213	0.0004
average sq. error with IS strat 2	0.329	0.206	0.002	0.0005	0.570	0.437	0.196	0.002
average sq. error without IS	0.195	0.083	0.036	0.017	0.286	0.158	0.079	0.044

Table 3: Simulation results for $m(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$

random variable with two degrees of freedom. The results of our estimate are presented in Table 3.

As one can see, with our proposed procedure all quantiles are well estimated in the average (for n sufficiently large). In addition for large n the average squared error of our estimate is significantly lower compared to the plug-in estimate.

In our last example the function m is motivated by experiments of the Collaborative Research Centre 805 at the Technische Universität Darmstadt, which studies uncertainty in load-bearing systems. A simple example of such load-bearing system is a tripod. Here every leg's end is equipped with sensors to measure the axial force. Since the manufacturing process can not be assumed to be perfect, the holes where the legs are attached to the head in will differ in size. Consequently, a force applied to the tripod will not be partitioned equally between the three legs. In case that one hole is too small, the leg won't fit in and the tripod could not be used. So we'll concentrate on the case of holes with too large diameters. In this case a plugged in leg will be loose and so the center of the hole will differ from the leg's center. This difference is called excentricity, which will be measured in meters. Since the excentricity and the diameter of the hole correlate, we will use the excentricity as indicator. Let now $m : \mathbb{R}^3_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function that returns the resulting load in one fixed leg, depending on the values $(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)})$ of the excentricities in the three holes. We simulate the value of $x = (x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)})$ with independent and uniformly distributed random variables on (0, 0.1) and use our method with n = 2000, to estimate the 99%-quantile of the share of the load in one observed leg. As result we get a share of 46.59% of the whole weight in the observed leg, as 99%-quantile. For comparison we also estimate this quantile with order statistics and a sample of size 2,000 and 100,0000, respectively. In the first case the computed value is 47.06% whereas in the latter case we get a value of 46.60% in the observed leg. These results show, that here our estimate performs better than the simple estimate based on order statistics using the same sample size.

5 Proofs

We will use the following lemma in order to prove Lemma 1.

Lemma 2 Assume that X is a \mathbb{R}^d -valued random variable which has a density with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Let $m : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function. Assume that m(X) has a density g with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and let q_{α} be the α -quantile of m(X). Assume that g is bounded away from zero in a neighborhood of q_{α} .

Let A and B be subsets of \mathbb{R}^d such that for some $\epsilon > 0$

$$m(x) \leq q_{\alpha} - \epsilon \text{ for } x \in A \text{ and } m(x) > q_{\alpha} \text{ for } x \in B$$

and

$$\mathbf{P}\{X \notin A \cup B\} > 0$$

Set

$$h(x) = c_5 \cdot I_{\{x \notin A \cup B\}} \cdot f(x)$$

where

$$c_5^{-1} = \mathbf{P}\{X \notin A \cup B\}$$

and set

$$\bar{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha - \mathbf{P}\{X \in A\}}{\mathbf{P}\{X \notin A \cup B\}}.$$

Let Z be a random variable with density h. Then

$$q_{\alpha} = q_{m(Z),\bar{\alpha}}.$$

Proof. Since the assumptions of the lemma imply

$$\mathbf{P}\{X \in A\} \le \mathbf{P}\{m(X) \le q_{\alpha} - \epsilon\} < \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{P}\{X \in B\} \le \mathbf{P}\{m(X) > q_{\alpha}\} = 1 - \alpha$$

we have

$$\bar{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha - \mathbf{P}\{X \in A\}}{1 - \mathbf{P}\{X \in A\} - \mathbf{P}\{X \in B\}} \in (0, 1].$$

Choose $\epsilon > 0$ such that g is bounded away from zero on $[q_{\alpha} - \epsilon, q_{\alpha}]$ and let $q_{\alpha} - \epsilon < u \leq q_{\alpha}$. By definition of Z we have

$$\mathbf{P}\{m(Z) \le u\} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{m(z) \le u\}} \mathbf{P}_{Z}(dz)$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{m(x) \le u\}} \cdot c_{5} \cdot I_{\{x \notin A \cup B\}} \cdot f(x) \, dx.$$

The assumptions of the lemma imply that A and B are disjoint and furthermore, because of $q_{\alpha} - \epsilon < u \leq q_{\alpha}$, they imply

$$I_{\{m(x) \le u\}} \cdot I_{\{x \in A\}} = I_{\{x \in A\}}$$
 and $I_{\{m(x) \le u\}} \cdot I_{\{x \in B\}} = 0.$

¿From this we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}\{m(Z) \le u\} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{m(x) \le u\}} \cdot c_5 \cdot (1 - I_{\{x \in A\}} - I_{\{x \in B\}}) \cdot f(x) \, dx \\ &= c_5 \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{m(x) \le u\}} \cdot f(x) \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{x \in A\}} \cdot f(x) \, dx \right) \\ &= c_5 \cdot \left(\mathbf{P}\{m(X) \le u\} - \mathbf{P}\{X \in A\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using $\mathbf{P}\{m(X) \leq u\} < \alpha$ for $u < q_{\alpha}$, $\mathbf{P}\{m(X) \leq q_{\alpha}\} = \alpha$ and the definition of c_5 we see that we have shown

$$\mathbf{P}\{m(Z) \le u\} < \bar{\alpha} \text{ for } q_{\alpha} - \epsilon < u < q_{\alpha} \text{ and } \mathbf{P}\{m(Z) \le q_{\alpha}\} = \bar{\alpha}.$$

The proof is complete.

Proof of Lemma 1. In order to apply Lemma 2, at first we define

$$A_n := \{ x \in K_n : m_n(x) < a_n \} = \left\{ x \in K_n : m_n(x) < \bar{q}_{\alpha, n_1} - 2 \cdot \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}} - 2 \cdot \beta_n \right\}$$

and

$$B_n := \{x \in K_n : m_n(x) > b_n\} = \left\{x \in K_n : m_n(x) > \bar{q}_{\alpha,n_1} + 2 \cdot \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}} + \beta_n\right\}$$

Here we observe that using these sets we can characterite the factor c_2 by

$$c_2^{-1} = \mathbf{P}\{X \notin A_n \cup B_n | \mathcal{D}_{n_1}\},\$$

where by (6) we have $\mathbf{P}\{X \notin A_n \cup B_n | \mathcal{D}_{n_1}\} > 0$ outside of an event whose probability tends to zero for $n \to \infty$. In addition by rewriting h(x) as

$$h(x) = c_2 \cdot I_{\{x \notin A_n \cup B_n\}} \cdot f(x)$$

and $\bar{\alpha}$ as

$$\bar{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha - \mathbf{P}\{X \in A_n | \mathcal{D}_{n_1}\}}{\mathbf{P}\{X \notin A_n \cup B_n | \mathcal{D}_{n_1}\}}$$

all factors are consistent with Lemma 2. Let now C_n be the event that for all $x \in A_n$ and all $y \in B_n$

$$m(x) \le q_{\alpha} - \beta_n$$
 and $m(y) > q_{\alpha}$

hold. Then by Lemma 2 we get the relation

$$\mathbf{P}\{C_n\} \le \mathbf{P}\{q_\alpha = q_{m(Z),\bar{\alpha}}\}$$

hence it suffices to show that $\mathbf{P}\{C_n\}$ is tending to one for $n \to \infty$. Therefore we observe that according to (5), for all $x \in A_n$ and all $y \in B_n$ we have

$$m(x) \le m_n(x) + \beta_n < \bar{q}_{\alpha,n} - 2 \cdot \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}} - \beta_n$$

and

$$m(y) \ge m_n(y) - \beta_n > \bar{q}_{\alpha,n} + 2 \cdot \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}\{C_n\} &\geq \mathbf{P}\left\{\bar{q}_{\alpha,n_1} - 2 \cdot \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}} - \beta_n \leq q_\alpha - \beta_n \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{q}_{\alpha,n} + 2 \cdot \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}} \geq q_\alpha\right\} \\ &= \mathbf{P}\left\{\bar{q}_{\alpha,n_1} - 2 \cdot \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}} \leq q_\alpha \leq \bar{q}_{\alpha,n} + 2 \cdot \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}\right\} \to 1 \qquad (n \to \infty) \end{aligned}$$

by (3), which completes the proof.

A crucial step in the proof of Theorem 1 is to show that the inverse of the cdf of m(Z) is locally differentiable at $\bar{\alpha}$ and to determine its derivative. We will do this in the next three lemmas.

Lemma 3 Let g be the density of m(X) and let A be a measurable subset of \mathbb{R} with the property that for all $x \in K_n$ we have

$$m(x) \in A \quad \Rightarrow \quad a_n \le m_n(x) \le b_n.$$
 (13)

Then

$$\mathbf{P}\{m(Z) \in A | \mathcal{D}_{n_1}\} = c_2 \cdot \int_A g(y) \, dy.$$

Proof. The definition of Z, (13) and the fact that g is the density of m(X) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}\{m(Z) \in A | \mathcal{D}_{n_1}\} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{m(z) \in A\}} \mathbf{P}_Z(dz) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{m(x) \in A\}} \cdot c_2 \cdot \left(I_{\{x \in K_n : a_n \le m_n(x) \le b_n\}} + I_{\{x \notin K_n\}} \right) \cdot f(x) \, dx \\ &= c_2 \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{m(x) \in A\}} \cdot \left(I_{\{x \in K_n\}} + I_{\{x \notin K_n\}} \right) \cdot f(x) \, dx \\ &= c_2 \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{m(x) \in A\}} \cdot f(x) \, dx \\ &= c_2 \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{\{m(x) \in A\}} \cdot f(x) \, dx \\ &= c_2 \cdot \mathbf{P}\{m(X) \in A\} \\ &= c_2 \cdot \int_A g(y) \, dy. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4 Assume that a density g of m(X) exists and let $G_{m(Z)}$ be the cdf of m(Z), i.e.,

$$G_{m(Z)}(y) = \mathbf{P}\{m(Z) \le y | \mathcal{D}_{n_1}\}.$$

Then $G_{m(Z)}$ is outside of an event, whose probability tends to zero for $n \to \infty$, at Lebegue-almost all points y of the interval

$$I := \left(q_{\alpha} - \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}, q_{\alpha} + \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

differentiable with derivative

$$G'_{m(Z)}(y) = c_2 \cdot g(y).$$
 (14)

In particular, (14) holds for all continuity points $y \in I$ of g.

Proof. Note that the distribution of Z depends on the density h, which depends (via the estimate of the quantile) on \mathcal{D}_{n_1} and hence is random itself. Now let A_n be the event that $|q_\alpha - \bar{q}_{\alpha,n_1}| \leq \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}$. Then (3) implies that $\mathbf{P}\{A_n\}$ tends to one for $n \to \infty$. In the following we assume that A_n holds. The next step is to show that Lemma 3 is applicable for every subset A of I when n is large. To this end notice that the inequality

$$m(x) - \beta_n \le m_n(x) \le m(x) + \beta_n$$

holds for every $x \in K_n$, due to (5). So for $x \in K_n$ with $m(x) \in I$ we have since A_n holds

$$a_n = \bar{q}_{\alpha,n_1} - 2 \cdot \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}} - 2 \cdot \beta_n \le q_\alpha - \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}} - 2 \cdot \beta_n \le m(x) - 2 \cdot \beta_n \le m_n(x)$$
$$\le m(x) + \beta_n \le q_\alpha + \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}} + \beta_n \le \bar{q}_{\alpha,n_1} + 2 \cdot \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}} + \beta_n = b_n.$$

This and Lemma 3 (applied with $A = (\min\{y, y+h\}, \max\{y, y+h\}])$ imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G_{m(Z)}(y+h) - G_{m(Z)}(y)}{h} &= sign(h) \cdot \frac{1}{h} \cdot \mathbf{P}\{m(Z) \in (\min\{y, y+h\}, \max\{y, y+h\}]\} \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \cdot \int_{y}^{y+h} c_2 \cdot g(t) \, dt, \end{aligned}$$

for every $y \in I$ and all $h \in \mathbb{R}$ small enough to fulfill $y + h \in I$. (Here sign(h) is the sign of h.) Now for h tending to zero, we get by the Lebesgue density theorem

$$G'_{m(Z)}(y) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} \cdot \int_{y}^{y+h} c_2 \cdot g(t) \, dt = c_2 \cdot g(y)$$

for Lebesgue-almost all points y of the interval I. Trivially this relation also holds for all continuity points $y \in I$ of g.

Observe that by definition c_2 is bounded from below by one.

Lemma 5 Assume that the density g of m(X) exists, that it is continuous on \mathbb{R} and positive at q_{α} . Then

$$G_{m(Z)}^{-1}(u) = \inf \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R} \, : \, G_{m(Z)}(y) \ge u \right\}$$

is outside of an event, whose probability tends to zero for $n \to \infty$, differentiable on the interval

$$\left(\bar{\alpha} - c_6 \cdot \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{\alpha} + c_6 \cdot \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

with derivative

$$\frac{d}{du}G_{m(Z)}^{-1}(u) = \frac{1}{c_2 \cdot g(G_{m(Z)}^{-1}(u))}.$$

Proof. Observe that the premise of Lemma 4 is fulfilled, so outside of an event, whose probability tends to zero for $n \to \infty$, $G'_{m(Z)}(y) = c_2 \cdot g(y)$ holds for all points $y \in I = \left(q_\alpha - \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}, q_\alpha + \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$. Since we assume g to be continuous and $G'_{m(Z)}(q_\alpha) = c_2 \cdot g(q_\alpha)$ to be positive, there exists a neighbourhood U of q_α such that $g(u) > \lambda$ holds for all $u \in U$ and some constant $0 < \lambda < g(q_\alpha)$. By this we can apply the inverse function theorem on $U \cap I$. Now for n large enough the interval I will surely be a subset of U which means $U \cap I = I$ in fact. In this case we take a closer look at the range $G_{m(Z)}(I)$. Since $G_{m(Z)}$ is continuous and strictly increasing on I, we have

$$G_{m(Z)}(I) = \left(G_{m(Z)}\left(q_{\alpha} - \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}\right), G_{m(Z)}\left(q_{\alpha} + \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right).$$

Now assume $q_{\alpha} = q_{m(Z),\bar{\alpha}}$ to hold. Then from

$$G_{m(Z)}\left(q_{\alpha} - \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = G_{m(Z)}(q_{\alpha}) - c_2 \cdot \int_{q_{\alpha} - \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}}^{q_{\alpha}} g(t)dt \le \bar{\alpha} - c_2 \cdot \lambda \cdot \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}$$

and

$$G_{m(Z)}\left(q_{\alpha} + \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = G_{m(Z)}(q_{\alpha}) + c_2 \cdot \int_{q_{\alpha}}^{q_{\alpha} + \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}} g(t)dt \ge \bar{\alpha} + c_2 \cdot \lambda \cdot \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}$$

we conclude that

$$G_{m(Z)}(I) \supseteq \left(\bar{\alpha} - c_2 \cdot \lambda \cdot \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{\alpha} + c_2 \cdot \lambda \cdot \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}\right) =: \tilde{I}.$$

Notice that Lemma 1 implies that $\mathbf{P}\{q_{\alpha} = q_{m(Z),\bar{\alpha}}\}$ tends to one for $n \to \infty$, so we're outside of an event, whose probability tends to zero for $n \to \infty$. Application of the inverse function theorem implies

$$\frac{d}{du}G_{m(Z)}^{-1}(u) = \frac{1}{c_2 \cdot g(G_{m(Z)}^{-1}(u))},\tag{15}$$

for all $u \in \tilde{I}$. Notice that since $c_2 \ge 1$, equality (15) holds for all $u \in \left(\bar{\alpha} - \lambda \cdot \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{\alpha} + \lambda \cdot \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ in particular.

Proof of Theorem 1. At first notice that $q_{m(Z),\bar{\alpha}}$ implicitly depends on n. Denote by C_n the event that $q_{\alpha} = q_{m(Z),\bar{\alpha}}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and notice that for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{ |\bar{q}_{m(Z),\bar{\alpha},n_{3}} - q_{\alpha}| > s \right\} \leq \mathbf{P}\left\{ |\bar{q}_{m(Z),\bar{\alpha},n_{3}} - q_{m(Z),\bar{\alpha}}| > s \right\} + \mathbf{P}\left\{ C_{n}^{c} \right\}$$

Now Lemma 1 implies that $\mathbf{P}\left\{C_n^c\right\}$ is tending to zero for $n \to \infty$ and so

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{P}\left\{ \left| \bar{q}_{m(Z),\bar{\alpha},n_3} - q_{\alpha} \right| > \frac{\log^{1.5}(n)}{n} \right\} \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{P}\left\{ \left| \bar{q}_{m(Z),\bar{\alpha},n_3} - q_{m(Z),\bar{\alpha}} \right| > \frac{\log^{1.5}(n)}{n} \right\}.$$
(16)

Let $G_{m(Z)}$ be the cdf of m(Z), i.e.,

$$G_{m(Z)}(y) = \mathbf{P}\{m(Z) \le y | \mathcal{D}_{n_1}\} \quad (y \in \mathbb{R}),$$

and set

$$G_{m(Z)}^{-1}(u) = \inf \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R} : G_{m(Z)}(y) \ge u \right\}.$$

Let U, U_1, U_2, \ldots be independent and uniformly on (0, 1) distributed random variables and denote the order statistics of U_1, \ldots, U_{n_3} by $U_{1:n_3}, \ldots, U_{n_3:n_3}$.

Since

$$\left(G_{m(Z)}^{-1}(U_1),\ldots,G_{m(Z)}^{-1}(U_{n_3})\right)$$

has the same distribution as

$$(m(Z_1),\ldots,m(Z_{n_3}))$$

and since $G_{m(Z)}^{-1}$ is monotonically increasing on (0, 1), due to (16) it suffices to show

$$\left|G_{m(Z)}^{-1}(U_{\lceil\bar{\alpha}\cdot n_3\rceil:n_3}) - G_{m(Z)}^{-1}(\bar{\alpha})\right| = O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(\frac{\log^{1.5}(n)}{n}\right).$$

It follows from Lemma 5 and the mean value theorem that outside of an event, whose probability tends to zero for $n \to \infty$, we have

$$\left| G_{m(Z)}^{-1}(U_{\lceil \bar{\alpha} \cdot n_3 \rceil : n_3}) - G_{m(Z)}^{-1}(\bar{\alpha}) \right| = \left| U_{\lceil \bar{\alpha} \cdot n_3 \rceil : n_3} - \bar{\alpha} \right| \cdot \frac{1}{c_2 \cdot g(G_{m(Z)}^{-1}(D_{n_3}))}$$

where D_{n_3} is some random point between $U_{\lceil \bar{\alpha} \cdot n_3 \rceil:n_3}$ and $\bar{\alpha}$, provided the distance between $U_{\lceil \bar{\alpha} \cdot n_3 \rceil:n_3}$ and $\bar{\alpha}$ is less than $c_6 \cdot \log(n)/\sqrt{n}$. Let F_U be the cdf of U and let F_{U,n_3} be the empirical cdf corresponding to U_1, \ldots, U_{n_3} . Then we have with probability one that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| U_{\lceil \bar{\alpha} \cdot n_3 \rceil: n_3} - \bar{\alpha} \right| &= \left| U_{\lceil \bar{\alpha} \cdot n_3 \rceil: n_3} - F_{U, n_3} (U_{\lceil \bar{\alpha} \cdot n_3 \rceil: n_3}) + \frac{\lceil \bar{\alpha} \cdot n_3 \rceil}{n_3} - \bar{\alpha} \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| F_{U, n_3}(t) - F_U(t) \right| + \frac{1}{n_3}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\left|U_{\left[\bar{\alpha}\cdot n_{3}\right]:n_{3}}-\bar{\alpha}\right|=O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log(n_{3})}}{\sqrt{n_{3}}}\right)$$

(cf., e.g., Theorem 12.4 in Devroye, Györfi and Lugosi (1996)). Furthermore, by Lemma 1 we can assume that $G_{m(Z)}^{-1}(\bar{\alpha}) = q_{\alpha}$ holds, and we have that $G_{m(Z)}^{-1}$ is continuous at $\bar{\alpha}$ and that g is positive and continuous at q_{α} . Hence it suffices to show

$$\frac{1}{c_2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(I_{\{x \in K_n : a_n \le m_n(x) \le b_n\}} + I_{\{x \notin K_n\}} \right) f(x) dx = O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(\frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

This in turn follows from

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{X \in K_n : a_n \le m_n(X) \le b_n \big| \mathcal{D}_{n_1}\right\} = O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(\frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$
(17)

and

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{X \notin K_n\right\} = O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log(n)}}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$
(18)

Note that (18) holds by assumption (11). In order to show (17) we assume that $|q_{\alpha} - \bar{q}_{\alpha,n_1}| \leq \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}$. Then the definitions of a_n , b_n and β_n imply

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}\left\{X \in K_n : a_n \leq m_n(X) \leq b_n | \mathcal{D}_{n_1}\right\} \\ &\leq \mathbf{P}\left\{X \in K_n : a_n - \beta_n \leq m(X) \leq b_n + \beta_n | \mathcal{D}_{n_1}\right\} \\ &= \mathbf{P}\left\{\bar{q}_{\alpha,n_1} - 2 \cdot \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}} - 3 \cdot \beta_n \leq m(X) \leq \bar{q}_{\alpha,n_1} + 2 \cdot \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}} + 2 \cdot \beta_n | \mathcal{D}_{n_1}\right\} \\ &\leq \mathbf{P}\left\{q_\alpha - 3 \cdot \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}} - 3 \cdot \beta_n \leq m(X) \leq q_\alpha + 3 \cdot \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}} + 2 \cdot \beta_n | \mathcal{D}_{n_1}\right\} \\ &\leq \mathbf{P}\left\{q_\alpha - 6 \cdot \frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{n}} \leq m(X) \leq q_\alpha + 5 \cdot \frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{n}}\right\} \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in [q_\alpha - 6, q_\alpha + 5]} g(x) \cdot 11 \cdot \frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{n}}.\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the fact that the continuous function g is bounded on any finite interval around q_{α} and that $\frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{n}}$ is bounded from above by one. Finally (3) implies the assertion.

6 Acknowledgment

The first author would like to thank the German Research Foundation (DFG) for funding this project within the Collaborative Research Centre 805.

References

- Arnold, B. C., Balakrishnan, N. and Nagaraja, H. N. (1992). A First Course in Order Statistics. John Wiley & Sons.
- [2] de Boor, C. (1978). A Practical Guide to Splines. Springer.
- [3] Cannamela, C, Garnier, J. and Iooss, B. (2008). Controlled stratification for quantile estimation. The Annals of Applied Statistics, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 1554-1580.
- [4] Devroye, L., Györfi, L. and Lugosi, G. (1996). A Probabilistic Theory of Pattern Recognition. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [5] Egloff, D. and Leippold, M. (2010). Quantile estimation with adaptive importance sampling. *The Annals of Statistics*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 1244-1278.
- [6] Glasserman, P. (2004). Monte Carlo Methods in Financial Engineering, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [7] Györfi, L., Kohler, M., Krzyżak, A. and Walk, H. (2002). A Distribution-Free Theory of Nonparametric Regression. Springer Series in Statistics, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [8] Kohler, M. (2013). Optimal global rates of convergence for noiseless regression estimation problems with adaptively chosen design. Submitted for publication.
- [9] Morio, J. (2012). Extreme quantile estimation with nonparametric adaptive importance sampling Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory, vol. 27, pp. 76-89.
- [10] Neddermeyer, J. C. (2009). Computationally efficient nonparametric importance sampling. Journal of the American Statistical Association, vol. 104, no. 486, pp. 788-802.

Michael Kohler Fachbereich Mathematik Technische Universität Darmstadt Schlossgartenstr. 7 64289 Darmstadt Germany **E-Mail:** kohler@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de

Adam Krzyżak Department of Computer Science and Software Engineering Concordia University 1455 De Maisonneuve Blvd. West Montreal Quebec Canada H3G 1M8 **E-Mail:** krzyzak@cs.concordia.ca

Reinhard Tent Fachbereich Mathematik Technische Universität Darmstadt Schlossgartenstr. 7 64289 Darmstadt Germany **E-Mail:** tent@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de

Harro Walk Universität Stuttgart Fachbereich Mathematik Pfaffenwaldring 57 70569 Stuttgart Germany **E-Mail:** walk@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de

Erschienene Preprints ab Nummer 2007/2007-001

Komplette Liste: http://www.mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de/preprints

- 2014-014 Kohler, M.; Krzyżak, A.; Walk, H.: Nonparametric recursive quantile estimation
- 2014-013 Kohler, M.; Krzyżak, A.; Tent, R.; Walk, H.: Nonparametric quantile estimation using importance sampling
- 2014-012 *Györfi, L.; Ottucsák, G.; Walk, H.:* The growth optimal investment strategy is secure, too.
- 2014-011 Györfi, L.; Walk, H.: Strongly consistent detection for nonparametric hypotheses
- 2014-010 *Köster, I.:* Finite Groups with Sylow numbers $\{q^x, a, b\}$
- 2014-009 Kahnert, D.: Hausdorff Dimension of Rings
- 2014-008 Steinwart, I.: Measuring the Capacity of Sets of Functions in the Analysis of ERM
- 2014-007 *Steinwart, I.:* Convergence Types and Rates in Generic Karhunen-Loève Expansions with Applications to Sample Path Properties
- 2014-006 Steinwart, I.; Pasin, C.; Williamson, R.; Zhang, S.: Elicitation and Identification of Properties
- 2014-005 *Schmid, J.; Griesemer, M.:* Integration of Non-Autonomous Linear Evolution Equations
- 2014-004 *Markhasin, L.:* L_2 and $S_{p,q}^r B$ -discrepancy of (order 2) digital nets
- 2014-003 *Markhasin, L.:* Discrepancy and integration in function spaces with dominating mixed smoothness
- 2014-002 Eberts, M.; Steinwart, I.: Optimal Learning Rates for Localized SVMs
- 2014-001 *Giesselmann, J.:* A relative entropy approach to convergence of a low order approximation to a nonlinear elasticity model with viscosity and capillarity
- 2013-016 Steinwart, I.: Fully Adaptive Density-Based Clustering
- 2013-015 *Steinwart, I.:* Some Remarks on the Statistical Analysis of SVMs and Related Methods
- 2013-014 *Rohde, C.; Zeiler, C.:* A Relaxation Riemann Solver for Compressible Two-Phase Flow with Phase Transition and Surface Tension
- 2013-013 Moroianu, A.; Semmelmann, U.: Generalized Killing spinors on Einstein manifolds
- 2013-012 Moroianu, A.; Semmelmann, U.: Generalized Killing Spinors on Spheres
- 2013-011 Kohls, K; Rösch, A.; Siebert, K.G.: Convergence of Adaptive Finite Elements for Control Constrained Optimal Control Problems
- 2013-010 *Corli, A.; Rohde, C.; Schleper, V.:* Parabolic Approximations of Diffusive-Dispersive Equations
- 2013-009 Nava-Yazdani, E.; Polthier, K.: De Casteljau's Algorithm on Manifolds
- 2013-008 *Bächle, A.; Margolis, L.:* Rational conjugacy of torsion units in integral group rings of non-solvable groups
- 2013-007 Knarr, N.; Stroppel, M.J.: Heisenberg groups over composition algebras
- 2013-006 Knarr, N.; Stroppel, M.J.: Heisenberg groups, semifields, and translation planes
- 2013-005 *Eck, C.; Kutter, M.; Sändig, A.-M.; Rohde, C.:* A Two Scale Model for Liquid Phase Epitaxy with Elasticity: An Iterative Procedure
- 2013-004 Griesemer, M.; Wellig, D.: The Strong-Coupling Polaron in Electromagnetic Fields
- 2013-003 *Kabil, B.; Rohde, C.:* The Influence of Surface Tension and Configurational Forces on the Stability of Liquid-Vapor Interfaces

- 2013-002 *Devroye, L.; Ferrario, P.G.; Györfi, L.; Walk, H.:* Strong universal consistent estimate of the minimum mean squared error
- 2013-001 *Kohls, K.; Rösch, A.; Siebert, K.G.:* A Posteriori Error Analysis of Optimal Control Problems with Control Constraints
- 2012-018 *Kimmerle, W.; Konovalov, A.:* On the Prime Graph of the Unit Group of Integral Group Rings of Finite Groups II
- 2012-017 *Stroppel, B.; Stroppel, M.:* Desargues, Doily, Dualities, and Exceptional Isomorphisms
- 2012-016 *Moroianu, A.; Pilca, M.; Semmelmann, U.:* Homogeneous almost quaternion-Hermitian manifolds
- 2012-015 *Steinke, G.F.; Stroppel, M.J.:* Simple groups acting two-transitively on the set of generators of a finite elation Laguerre plane
- 2012-014 *Steinke, G.F.; Stroppel, M.J.:* Finite elation Laguerre planes admitting a two-transitive group on their set of generators
- 2012-013 *Diaz Ramos, J.C.; Dominguez Vázquez, M.; Kollross, A.:* Polar actions on complex hyperbolic spaces
- 2012-012 Moroianu; A.; Semmelmann, U.: Weakly complex homogeneous spaces
- 2012-011 Moroianu; A.; Semmelmann, U.: Invariant four-forms and symmetric pairs
- 2012-010 Hamilton, M.J.D.: The closure of the symplectic cone of elliptic surfaces
- 2012-009 Hamilton, M.J.D.: Iterated fibre sums of algebraic Lefschetz fibrations
- 2012-008 Hamilton, M.J.D.: The minimal genus problem for elliptic surfaces
- 2012-007 *Ferrario, P.:* Partitioning estimation of local variance based on nearest neighbors under censoring
- 2012-006 Stroppel, M.: Buttons, Holes and Loops of String: Lacing the Doily
- 2012-005 Hantsch, F.: Existence of Minimizers in Restricted Hartree-Fock Theory
- 2012-004 Grundhöfer, T.; Stroppel, M.; Van Maldeghem, H.: Unitals admitting all translations
- 2012-003 Hamilton, M.J.D.: Representing homology classes by symplectic surfaces
- 2012-002 Hamilton, M.J.D.: On certain exotic 4-manifolds of Akhmedov and Park
- 2012-001 Jentsch, T.: Parallel submanifolds of the real 2-Grassmannian
- 2011-028 Spreer, J.: Combinatorial 3-manifolds with cyclic automorphism group
- 2011-027 *Griesemer, M.; Hantsch, F.; Wellig, D.:* On the Magnetic Pekar Functional and the Existence of Bipolarons
- 2011-026 Müller, S.: Bootstrapping for Bandwidth Selection in Functional Data Regression
- 2011-025 *Felber, T.; Jones, D.; Kohler, M.; Walk, H.:* Weakly universally consistent static forecasting of stationary and ergodic time series via local averaging and least squares estimates
- 2011-024 Jones, D.; Kohler, M.; Walk, H.: Weakly universally consistent forecasting of stationary and ergodic time series
- 2011-023 *Györfi, L.; Walk, H.:* Strongly consistent nonparametric tests of conditional independence
- 2011-022 *Ferrario, P.G.; Walk, H.:* Nonparametric partitioning estimation of residual and local variance based on first and second nearest neighbors
- 2011-021 Eberts, M.; Steinwart, I.: Optimal regression rates for SVMs using Gaussian kernels
- 2011-020 Frank, R.L.; Geisinger, L.: Refined Semiclassical Asymptotics for Fractional Powers of the Laplace Operator

- 2011-019 *Frank, R.L.; Geisinger, L.:* Two-term spectral asymptotics for the Dirichlet Laplacian on a bounded domain
- 2011-018 Hänel, A.; Schulz, C.; Wirth, J.: Embedded eigenvalues for the elastic strip with cracks
- 2011-017 Wirth, J.: Thermo-elasticity for anisotropic media in higher dimensions
- 2011-016 Höllig, K.; Hörner, J.: Programming Multigrid Methods with B-Splines
- 2011-015 *Ferrario, P.:* Nonparametric Local Averaging Estimation of the Local Variance Function
- 2011-014 *Müller, S.; Dippon, J.:* k-NN Kernel Estimate for Nonparametric Functional Regression in Time Series Analysis
- 2011-013 Knarr, N.; Stroppel, M.: Unitals over composition algebras
- 2011-012 *Knarr, N.; Stroppel, M.:* Baer involutions and polarities in Moufang planes of characteristic two
- 2011-011 Knarr, N.; Stroppel, M.: Polarities and planar collineations of Moufang planes
- 2011-010 Jentsch, T.; Moroianu, A.; Semmelmann, U.: Extrinsic hyperspheres in manifolds with special holonomy
- 2011-009 *Wirth, J.:* Asymptotic Behaviour of Solutions to Hyperbolic Partial Differential Equations
- 2011-008 Stroppel, M.: Orthogonal polar spaces and unitals
- 2011-007 *Nagl, M.:* Charakterisierung der Symmetrischen Gruppen durch ihre komplexe Gruppenalgebra
- 2011-006 *Solanes, G.; Teufel, E.:* Horo-tightness and total (absolute) curvatures in hyperbolic spaces
- 2011-005 Ginoux, N.; Semmelmann, U.: Imaginary Kählerian Killing spinors I
- 2011-004 *Scherer, C.W.; Köse, I.E.:* Control Synthesis using Dynamic *D*-Scales: Part II Gain-Scheduled Control
- 2011-003 *Scherer, C.W.; Köse, I.E.:* Control Synthesis using Dynamic *D*-Scales: Part I Robust Control
- 2011-002 Alexandrov, B.; Semmelmann, U.: Deformations of nearly parallel G2-structures
- 2011-001 Geisinger, L.; Weidl, T.: Sharp spectral estimates in domains of infinite volume
- 2010-018 Kimmerle, W.; Konovalov, A.: On integral-like units of modular group rings
- 2010-017 Gauduchon, P.; Moroianu, A.; Semmelmann, U.: Almost complex structures on quaternion-Kähler manifolds and inner symmetric spaces
- 2010-016 Moroianu, A.; Semmelmann, U.: Clifford structures on Riemannian manifolds
- 2010-015 Grafarend, E.W.; Kühnel, W.: A minimal atlas for the rotation group SO(3)
- 2010-014 Weidl, T.: Semiclassical Spectral Bounds and Beyond
- 2010-013 Stroppel, M.: Early explicit examples of non-desarguesian plane geometries
- 2010-012 Effenberger, F.: Stacked polytopes and tight triangulations of manifolds
- 2010-011 *Györfi, L.; Walk, H.:* Empirical portfolio selection strategies with proportional transaction costs
- 2010-010 Kohler, M.; Krzyżak, A.; Walk, H.: Estimation of the essential supremum of a regression function
- 2010-009 *Geisinger, L.; Laptev, A.; Weidl, T.:* Geometrical Versions of improved Berezin-Li-Yau Inequalities

- 2010-008 Poppitz, S.; Stroppel, M.: Polarities of Schellhammer Planes
- 2010-007 *Grundhöfer, T.; Krinn, B.; Stroppel, M.:* Non-existence of isomorphisms between certain unitals
- 2010-006 *Höllig, K.; Hörner, J.; Hoffacker, A.:* Finite Element Analysis with B-Splines: Weighted and Isogeometric Methods
- 2010-005 *Kaltenbacher, B.; Walk, H.:* On convergence of local averaging regression function estimates for the regularization of inverse problems
- 2010-004 Kühnel, W.; Solanes, G.: Tight surfaces with boundary
- 2010-003 *Kohler, M; Walk, H.:* On optimal exercising of American options in discrete time for stationary and ergodic data
- 2010-002 *Gulde, M.; Stroppel, M.:* Stabilizers of Subspaces under Similitudes of the Klein Quadric, and Automorphisms of Heisenberg Algebras
- 2010-001 *Leitner, F.:* Examples of almost Einstein structures on products and in cohomogeneity one
- 2009-008 Griesemer, M.; Zenk, H.: On the atomic photoeffect in non-relativistic QED
- 2009-007 *Griesemer, M.; Moeller, J.S.:* Bounds on the minimal energy of translation invariant n-polaron systems
- 2009-006 *Demirel, S.; Harrell II, E.M.:* On semiclassical and universal inequalities for eigenvalues of quantum graphs
- 2009-005 Bächle, A, Kimmerle, W.: Torsion subgroups in integral group rings of finite groups
- 2009-004 Geisinger, L.; Weidl, T.: Universal bounds for traces of the Dirichlet Laplace operator
- 2009-003 Walk, H.: Strong laws of large numbers and nonparametric estimation
- 2009-002 Leitner, F.: The collapsing sphere product of Poincaré-Einstein spaces
- 2009-001 *Brehm, U.; Kühnel, W.:* Lattice triangulations of E^3 and of the 3-torus
- 2008-006 *Kohler, M.; Krzyżak, A.; Walk, H.:* Upper bounds for Bermudan options on Markovian data using nonparametric regression and a reduced number of nested Monte Carlo steps
- 2008-005 *Kaltenbacher, B.; Schöpfer, F.; Schuster, T.:* Iterative methods for nonlinear ill-posed problems in Banach spaces: convergence and applications to parameter identification problems
- 2008-004 *Leitner, F.:* Conformally closed Poincaré-Einstein metrics with intersecting scale singularities
- 2008-003 Effenberger, F.; Kühnel, W.: Hamiltonian submanifolds of regular polytope
- 2008-002 *Hertweck, M.; Höfert, C.R.; Kimmerle, W.:* Finite groups of units and their composition factors in the integral group rings of the groups PSL(2, q)
- 2008-001 *Kovarik, H.; Vugalter, S.; Weidl, T.:* Two dimensional Berezin-Li-Yau inequalities with a correction term
- 2007-006 Weidl, T.: Improved Berezin-Li-Yau inequalities with a remainder term
- 2007-005 Frank, R.L.; Loss, M.; Weidl, T.: Polya's conjecture in the presence of a constant magnetic field
- 2007-004 *Ekholm, T.; Frank, R.L.; Kovarik, H.:* Eigenvalue estimates for Schrödinger operators on metric trees
- 2007-003 Lesky, P.H.; Racke, R.: Elastic and electro-magnetic waves in infinite waveguides
- 2007-002 Teufel, E.: Spherical transforms and Radon transforms in Moebius geometry
- 2007-001 *Meister, A.:* Deconvolution from Fourier-oscillating error densities under decay and smoothness restrictions